

On SI -groups

R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz

University of Białystok

20.06.2014

Preliminaries

For an arbitrary abelian group A and a prime number p we define a p -component A_p of the group A :

$$A_p = \{a \in A : p^n a = 0, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Often we will use the designation:

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : o(a) = p, \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

The torsion part of A is denoted by $T(A)$.

Preliminaries

For an arbitrary abelian group A and a prime number p we define a p -component A_p of the group A :

$$A_p = \{a \in A : p^n a = 0, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Often we will use the designation:

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : o(a) = p, \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

The torsion part of A is denoted by $T(A)$.

Definition 1

Let $(A, +, 0)$ be an abelian group. An operation $$: $A \times A \rightarrow A$ is called a ring multiplication, if for all $a, b, c \in A$ there holds*

$$a * (b + c) = a * b + a * c \text{ and } (b + c) * a = b * a + c * a.$$

*The algebraic system $(A, +, *, 0)$ is called a ring.*

Definition 2

Let A be an abelian group. If on A there does not exist any nonzero ring multiplication, then A is called a nil-group. If on A there does not exist any nonzero associative ring multiplication, then we say that A is a nil_a -group.

Definition 2

Let A be an abelian group. If on A there does not exist any nonzero ring multiplication, then A is called a nil-group. If on A there does not exist any nonzero associative ring multiplication, then we say that A is a nil_a -group.

Remark

Every nil-group is a nil_a -group. Every torsion nil_a -group is a nil-group, by Theorem 3.4 in [1] and Theorem 120.3 in [4]. By Theorem 4.1 in [1], a mixed nil_a -group does not exist. It is easily seen that every ring multiplication on arbitrary subgroup of the group \mathbb{Q}^+ is associative and that every abelian torsion-free group of the rank 1 can be embedded in the group \mathbb{Q}^+ . Thus, the concepts of nil_a -group and nil-group are equivalent also in the class of abelian torsion-free groups of rank 1. In the light of the available literature, it is not known whether there exists a torsion-free nil_a -group A of rank more than 1 such that A is not a nil-group.

Definition 3

A ring in which every subring is an ideal (two-sided) is called an SI-ring. An abelian group A is called an SI-group, if every ring R with $R^+ = A$ is an SI-ring.

Definition 3

A ring in which every subring is an ideal (two-sided) is called an SI -ring. An abelian group A is called an SI -group, if every ring R with $R^+ = A$ is an SI -ring.

Definition 4

An abelian group A is called an SI_H -group, if every associative ring R with $R^+ = A$ is an H -ring.

Definition 3

A ring in which every subring is an ideal (two-sided) is called an SI -ring. An abelian group A is called an SI -group, if every ring R with $R^+ = A$ is an SI -ring.

Definition 4

An abelian group A is called an SI_H -group, if every associative ring R with $R^+ = A$ is an H -ring.

Remark

Obviously, every SI -group is an SI_H -group. There exist mixed SI_H -groups which are not SI -groups.

Example 5

It is easily seen that $Z(p^n)$ is an SI_H -group for all prime numbers p and positive integers n .

Examples

Example 5

It is easily seen that $Z(p^n)$ is an Sl_H -group for all prime numbers p and positive integers n .

Lemma 6

A direct summand of an Sl_H -group is an Sl_H -group.

Examples

Example 5

It is easily seen that $Z(p^n)$ is an Sl_H -group for all prime numbers p and positive integers n .

Lemma 6

A direct summand of an Sl_H -group is an Sl_H -group.

Proof

Let A and B be abelian groups and let $G = A \oplus B$. Suppose that A is not an Sl_H -group. Then there exists an associative ring S with $S^+ = A$ such that S is not an H -ring. Since every subring of an H -ring is an H -ring, $R = S \oplus B^0$ is not an H -ring, and consequently, G is not an Sl_H -group.



Corollary 7

Every torsion-free SI_H -group is reduced.

Corollary 7

Every torsion-free Sl_H -group is reduced.

Lemma 8

Let R be an associative ring with $R^+ = A$ and let M be a left-sided R -module. If $R \circ M \neq \{0\}$, then $A \oplus M$ is not an Sl_H -group.

Examples

Corollary 7

Every torsion-free Sl_H -group is reduced.

Lemma 8

Let R be an associative ring with $R^+ = A$ and let M be a left-sided R -module. If $R \circ M \neq \{0\}$, then $A \oplus M$ is not an Sl_H -group.

Proof

Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then S is an associative ring with $S^+ \cong A \oplus M$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a subring of S satisfying $TS \not\subseteq T$. Thus $T \ntriangleleft S$. □

Corollary 9

From the above lemma we obtain at once that:

- $\mathbb{Z}^+ \oplus A$ is not an Sl_H -group for an arbitrary abelian group A ;
- $Z(p^m) \oplus Z(p^n)$ is not an Sl_H -group for all positive integers m, n .

Corollary 9

From the above lemma we obtain at once that:

- $\mathbb{Z}^+ \oplus A$ is not an Sl_H -group for an arbitrary abelian group A ;
- $Z(p^m) \oplus Z(p^n)$ is not an Sl_H -group for all positive integers m, n .

Theorem 10 (S. Feigelstock)

A nontrivial torsion abelian group A is an Sl_H -group if and only if each of its nontrivial p -components A_p satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) $A_p = Z(p^n)$, n a positive integer;
- (ii) $A_p = Z(p^n) \oplus D$, with D a divisible p -group and $n = 0$ or $n = 1$.

Examples/Torsion Sl_H -groups

Corollary 9

From the above lemma we obtain at once that:

- $\mathbb{Z}^+ \oplus A$ is not an Sl_H -group for an arbitrary abelian group A ;
- $Z(p^m) \oplus Z(p^n)$ is not an Sl_H -group for all positive integers m, n .

Theorem 10 (S. Feigelstock)

A nontrivial torsion abelian group A is an Sl_H -group if and only if each of its nontrivial p -components A_p satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) $A_p = Z(p^n)$, n a positive integer;
- (ii) $A_p = Z(p^n) \oplus D$, with D a divisible p -group and $n = 0$ or $n = 1$.

Theorem 11 (S. Feigelstock)

The torsion part of an Sl_H -group is an Sl_H -group.

Theorem 12 (S. Feigelstock)

If A is mixed Sl_H -group, then $T(A) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{P}(A)} Z(p^{n_p})$, where n_p is a positive integer for all $p \in \mathbb{P}(A)$.

Theorem 12 (S. Feigelstock)

If A is mixed Sl_H -group, then $T(A) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{P}(A)} Z(p^{n_p})$, where n_p is a positive integer for all $p \in \mathbb{P}(A)$.

Remark

In [2] we proved a more accurate version of the above theorem. Namely, we obtained $n_p = 1$, for all $p \in \mathbb{P}(A)$.

Theorem 12 (S. Feigelstock)

If A is mixed Sl_H -group, then $T(A) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{P}(A)} Z(p^{n_p})$, where n_p is a positive integer for all $p \in \mathbb{P}(A)$.

Remark

In [2] we proved a more accurate version of the above theorem. Namely, we obtained $n_p = 1$, for all $p \in \mathbb{P}(A)$.

The following proposition was useful in our proof:

Proposition 13 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let p and n be a prime number and a positive integer, respectively. Let A be an abelian group such that $A_p \neq \{0\}$ or $T(A) \neq A$. If $n \geq 2$, then $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \oplus A^0$ is not an H -ring.

Proof

Take any $a \in A$. Let $\alpha = (p^{n-1}, a)$. Then $\alpha^2 = 0$, because $n \geq 2$. Therefore $[\alpha] = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $(1, 0)\alpha \in [\alpha]$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(p^{n-1}, 0) = k(p^{n-1}, a)$. Hence $p^{n-1} = kp^{n-1}$ and $0 = ka$. If $o(a) = \infty$, then from the equality $ka = 0$ it follows that $k = 0$. Thus $p^{n-1} = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , a contradiction. If $o(a) = p$, then $p \mid k$. So there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k = lp$. Therefore $p^{n-1} = kp^{n-1} = lp^n = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , a contradiction. Thus $(1, 0)\alpha \notin [\alpha]$. \square

Proof

Take any $a \in A$. Let $\alpha = (p^{n-1}, a)$. Then $\alpha^2 = 0$, because $n \geq 2$. Therefore $[\alpha] = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $(1, 0)\alpha \in [\alpha]$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(p^{n-1}, 0) = k(p^{n-1}, a)$. Hence $p^{n-1} = kp^{n-1}$ and $0 = ka$. If $o(a) = \infty$, then from the equality $ka = 0$ it follows that $k = 0$. Thus $p^{n-1} = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , a contradiction. If $o(a) = p$, then $p \mid k$. So there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k = lp$. Therefore $p^{n-1} = kp^{n-1} = lp^n = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , a contradiction. Thus $(1, 0)\alpha \notin [\alpha]$. \square

Theorem 14 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ and let A be an Sl_H -group satisfying $A = pA$ and $A_p = \{0\}$, for all $p \in P$. Then $G = \left(\bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)\right) \oplus A$ is an Sl_H -group.

Proposition 15 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let H be an abelian group satisfying $H_p = \{0\}$ and $H \neq pH$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H/pH \geq 2$, then $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^+ \oplus H$ is not an Sl_H -group.

Proposition 15 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let H be an abelian group satisfying $H_p = \{0\}$ and $H \neq pH$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H/pH \geq 2$, then $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^+ \oplus H$ is not an Sl_H -group.

Lemma 16 (S. Feigelstock)

If A is an Sl_H -group, then every p -component A_p of A is a direct summand of A .

Proposition 15 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let H be an abelian group satisfying $H_p = \{0\}$ and $H \neq pH$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H/pH \geq 2$, then $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^+ \oplus H$ is not an Sl_H -group.

Lemma 16 (S. Feigelstock)

If A is an Sl_H -group, then every p -component A_p of A is a direct summand of A .

Theorem 17 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let G be a mixed Sl_H -group and let $p \in \mathbb{P}(A)$. Then there exists $H \leq G$ such that $G = G_p \oplus H$, where $H = \langle h \rangle + pH$, for some $h \in H$.

Proof

It follows from Lemma 16 that there exists $H \leq G$ such that $G = G_p \oplus H$. If $H = pH$, then $H = \langle h \rangle + pH$, for all $h \in H$. If $H \neq pH$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H/pH = 1$, by Proposition 15. Hence $H = \langle h \rangle + pH$, for all $h \in H \setminus pH$.



Proof

It follows from Lemma 16 that there exists $H \leq G$ such that $G = G_p \oplus H$. If $H = pH$, then $H = \langle h \rangle + pH$, for all $h \in H$. If $H \neq pH$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H/pH = 1$, by Proposition 15. Hence $H = \langle h \rangle + pH$, for all $h \in H \setminus pH$.



Remark

It follows from Theorem 12 and Remark 8 that $G_p = Z(p)$, hence $pG = pH$. If $H \neq pH$, then the subgroup H is not uniquely determined. In fact, let $K = \langle (a, h) \rangle + pH$, for some $0 \neq a \in G_p$, $h \in H \setminus pH$. Then $G = G_p + K$. Suppose that $k(a, h) + (0, ph_1) = l(a, 0)$, for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $h_1 \in H$. Then $ka = la$ and $kh + ph_1 = 0$, hence $k \equiv l \pmod{p}$ and $kh = -ph_1 \in pH$. As $h \in H \setminus pH$ we have $p \mid k$. Therefore $G_p \cap K = \{0\}$. Moreover $(a, h) \notin H$, so $K \neq H$.

Proposition 18 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let H be a nil_a -group with $H_p = \{0\}$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If there exists $h_0 \in H$ such that $H = \langle h_0 \rangle + pH$, then $G = Z(p) \oplus H$ is an Sl_H -group.

Proposition 18 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let H be a nil_a -group with $H_p = \{0\}$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If there exists $h_0 \in H$ such that $H = \langle h_0 \rangle + pH$, then $G = Z(p) \oplus H$ is an Sl_H -group.

Now, we prove a fact about subgroups of the group \mathbb{Q}^+ , which will be applied in the next example of mixed Sl_H -group:

Lemma 19

If A is a subgroup of the group \mathbb{Q}^+ satisfying $A \neq pA$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$, then $A/pA \cong Z(p)$. In particular, $A = pA + \langle a \rangle$, for all $a \in A \setminus pA$.

Proof

Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A/pA \geq 2$. Then there exist $a_1, a_2 \in A \setminus pA$ such that $a_1 + pA, a_2 + pA$ are linearly independent over the field \mathbb{Z}_p . Let $n = \min \{m \in \mathbb{N} : ma_1 \in \langle a_2 \rangle\}$. Then $na_1 = ka_2$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $n(a_1 + pA) - k(a_2 + pA) = pA$, hence $p \mid n$ and $p \mid k$. Therefore $n = pn_1$ and $k = pk_1$, for some $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, A is torsion-free, and consequently, $n_1 a_1 = k_1 a_2$, which contradicts the minimality of the number n . Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A/pA = 1$, hence $A/pA \cong Z(p)$.



Proof

Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A/pA \geq 2$. Then there exist $a_1, a_2 \in A \setminus pA$ such that $a_1 + pA, a_2 + pA$ are linearly independent over the field \mathbb{Z}_p . Let $n = \min \{m \in \mathbb{N} : ma_1 \in \langle a_2 \rangle\}$. Then $na_1 = ka_2$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $n(a_1 + pA) - k(a_2 + pA) = pA$, hence $p \mid n$ and $p \mid k$. Therefore $n = pn_1$ and $k = pk_1$, for some $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, A is torsion-free, and consequently, $n_1 a_1 = k_1 a_2$, which contradicts the minimality of the number n . Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A/pA = 1$, hence $A/pA \cong Z(p)$.



Example 20

Let H be a nil-subgroup of the group \mathbb{Q}^+ such that $H \neq pH$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then there exists $h_0 \in H \setminus pH$, hence $H = \langle h_0 \rangle + pH$, by Lemma 19. Moreover $H_p = \{0\}$, so it follows from Proposition 18 that $Z(p) \oplus H$ is an Sl_H -group.

Theorem 21 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let A be an abelian torsion-free group. Then A is an SI_H -group if and only if either A is a nil_a -group or $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Torsion-free Sl_H -groups

Theorem 21 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let A be an abelian torsion-free group. Then A is an Sl_H -group if and only if either A is a nil_a -group or $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Remark

The proof of this theorem relies on important results about H -rings obtained by the R. L. Kruse in [5].

Torsion-free Sl_H -groups

Theorem 21 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let A be an abelian torsion-free group. Then A is an Sl_H -group if and only if either A is a nil_a -group or $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Remark

The proof of this theorem relies on important results about H -rings obtained by the R. L. Kruse in [5].

Corollary 22

The converse theorem to Theorem 17 is not true. In fact, let p and q be distinct prime numbers and let $H = \left[\frac{1}{q}\right]^+$. Then $H \neq pH$, so $H = \langle h \rangle + pH$, for some $h \in H \setminus pH$, by Lemma 19. But H is not an Sl_H -group by Theorem 21, so it follows from Lemma 6 that $G = Z(p) \oplus H$ is not an Sl_H -group.

Lemma 23 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let both A and H be abelian groups. If A is not a nil-group and A is a homomorphic image of H , then $A \oplus H$ is not an SI -group.

Lemma 23 (R. R. Andruszkiewicz and M. Woronowicz)

Let both A and H be abelian groups. If A is not a nil-group and A is a homomorphic image of H , then $A \oplus H$ is not an SI-group.

Proof

Let $f: H \rightarrow A$ be an epimorphism. Let R be a ring such that $R^+ = A$ and $R^2 \neq \{0\}$. It is easy to check that the operation

$\ast: (A \oplus H) \times (A \oplus H) \rightarrow (A \oplus H)$ defined by:

$$(a_1, x_1) \ast (a_2, x_2) = (a_1 f(x_2) + a_2 f(x_1), 0), \text{ for all } a_1, a_2 \in A, x_1, x_2 \in H$$

is a ring multiplication on the group $A \oplus H$. Since $R^2 \neq \{0\}$, there exist $a, b \in A$ such that $a \cdot b \neq 0$. Let $y \in f^{-1}(\{b\})$. Then $(0, y)^2 = (0, 0)$, so $[(0, y)] = \langle (0, y) \rangle$ and $(a, 0) \ast (0, y) = (a \cdot f(y), 0) = (a \cdot b, 0) \notin [(0, y)]$. Therefore $[(0, y)]$ is not an ideal of the ring R . \square

Corollary 24

*Let H be an abelian group such that $H \neq pH$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then $Z(p) \oplus H$ is not an *SI*-group.*

SI -groups vs. SI_H -groups

Corollary 24

Let H be an abelian group such that $H \neq pH$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then $Z(p) \oplus H$ is not an SI -group.

Remark

From the above corollary and Example 20 it follows, that the class of all SI -group is a proper subclass of the class of all SI_H -group.

Sl -groups vs. Sl_H -groups

Corollary 24

Let H be an abelian group such that $H \neq pH$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then $Z(p) \oplus H$ is not an Sl -group.

Remark

From the above corollary and Example 20 it follows, that the class of all Sl -group is a proper subclass of the class of all Sl_H -group.

Consider the following statement:

Corollary 25 (S. Feigelstock)

Let G be an Sl_H -group, and let p be a prime for which $G_p \neq \{0\}$. Then $G = G_p \oplus H$, for some subgroup H of G such that $H = pH$

Remark

It turns out that the ring multiplication constructed by S. Feigelstock in the proof of Corollary 25 (cf. Corollary 11 in [3]) is not associative. Therefore Feigelstock's proof provides the truth for this corollary for SI -groups referred to in the Definition 3. Example 20 shows, that Corollary 25 is false in the class of SI_H -groups. In addition $G_p = Z(p)$, by Remark 4 and Theorem 12, hence $H = pG$. Also the other Feigelstock's results based on Corollary 25 are proved only for SI -groups and some of them are false in the class of SI_H -groups (cf. [2]).

- [1] R. R. Andruszkiewicz, M. Woronowicz, *On associative ring multiplication on abelian mixed groups*, Comm. Algebra **42** (2014), No. 9, 3760-3767.
- [2] R. R. Andruszkiewicz, M. Woronowicz, *On SI-groups*, submitted paper;
- [3] S. Feigelstock, *Additive groups of rings whose subrings are ideals*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **55** (1997), 477-481.
- [4] L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups volume 2*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1973.
- [5] R. L. Kruse, *Rings in which all subrings are ideals*, Canad. J. Math. **20** (1968) 862-871.

Thank you for your attention!