






Survey on Permutohedra and Associahedra

T. Holmes¹

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Hawaii at Manoa

AAB Workshop, Mamaroneck NY, 8/10/11

-  Kira Adaricheva, *Stasheff polytope as a sublattice of a permutohedron*, in arxiv.
-  Kira Adaricheva, *Almost Distributive Lattices In Connection to Permutation Lattices*, unpublished notes, June 11 2011
-  R. Freese, J. Ježek, and J. B. Nation, *Free Lattices*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **42**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1995.
-  N. Reading, *Cambrian lattices*, *Advances in Mathematics* **205** (2006) 313-353
-  L. Santocanale, F. Wehrung, *Sublattices of associahedra and permutohedra*, in arxiv.

- Introduction and Review of Bounded Lattices
- Permutohedra, Associahedra, and Cambrian Lattices
- The "French" Paper
- Open Questions

Bounded Lattices

Definitions

- A lattice L is called *lower bounded* (*upper bounded*) iff for every finitely generated lattice K and every lattice homomorphism $f: K \rightarrow L$ the set $f^{-1}(a)$ contains a least (greatest) element for every $a \in L$. If L is both upper bounded and lower bounded, it is called *bounded*.
- $a D b$ iff $a \neq b$, b is join irreducible, and there is a $p \in L$ with $a \leq b \vee p$ and $a \not\leq c \vee p$ for $c < b$.

Bounded Lattices

Big Results

Theorem

A finite lattice L is lower bounded if and only if it contains no D cycle. [Freese, Jezek, and Nation, 2.39, p. 42]

Theorem

A finite lattice is bounded if and only if it can be obtained from a one-element lattice by a sequence of applications of Day's doubling applied to intervals. [Freese, Jezek, and Nation, 2.44, p. 44]

The Permutohedra

First Look

- Let $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- Consider the symmetric group S_n , denote the elements with "one line" notation, i.e. the string $\sigma(1)\sigma(2)\dots\sigma(n)$ denotes the group element that acts on $[n]$ via $i \mapsto \sigma(i)$.



$$I(\sigma) := \{(i, j) \in [n] \times [n] : i < j, \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}.$$

- Define a partial order on S_n by

$$\sigma \leq \gamma \Leftrightarrow I(\sigma) \subseteq I(\gamma).$$


The Permutohedra

Known Facts

- This partial order makes S_n into a lattice (Guilddbad and Rosentiehl) that is semidistributive (Duquenne and Cherfouh) and bounded (Caspard) [Adaricheva 1, p. 2].
- These lattices are referred to as *permutation lattices* or *permutohedra*.
- In [Santocanale and Wehrung], S_n with this lattice structure is denoted $P(n)$, we adopt this notation.
- Exercise: Compute $3124 \vee 1342$ and $3124 \wedge 1342$ in the lattice $P(4)$.

The Permutohedra

$P(4)$



<http://www.flickr.com/photos/ethanhein/2281698129/>


The Associahedra

First Look

- Consider the set of all associative bracketings of n letters, denoted $A(n)$ as in [Santocanale and Wehrung].
- E.g.,
$$A(4) := \{((ab)c)d, (ab)(cd), (a(bc))d, a((bc)d), a(b(cd))\}.$$
- Define \prec on $A(n)$ by $\alpha \prec \beta$ if and only if β can be obtained from α by moving exactly one set of brackets to the right.
- The transitive closure of \prec is a partial order that turns $A(n)$ into a lattice for all n (Freedman and Tamari) [Adaricheva 1, p.2]. This is called a *Tamari lattice* or an *associahedron*.
- Exercise: Compute $a(((bc)d)e) \vee (a(b(cd)))e$ and $a(((bc)d)e) \wedge (a(b(cd)))e$ in $A(5)$.

The Associahedra

The Lattice $A(5)$



http://golem.ph.utexas.edu/category/2009/08/this_weeks_finds_in_mathematic_39.html

The Associahedra

First Look (cont.)

- Every $A(n)$ is semidistributive and bounded (Geyer) [Adaricheva 1, p. 2].
- Every distributive lattice with n join-irreducible elements can be embedded into $A(n + 1)$ (Markowsky) [Santocanale and Wehrung, p. 2].
- Geyer conjectured that every finite, bounded lattice could be embedded into $A(n)$ for some n [Santocanale and Wehrung, p. 2]. This conjecture was recently settled in the negative [Santocanale and Wehrung, Theorem 10.7, p. 20].

- Despite their similarities, the fundamental connection between $P(n)$ and $A(n)$ was not established until recently.

Theorem


For every n , $A(n) \leq P(n)$ (Borner and Wachs). Indeed, $A(n)$ is a retract of $P(n)$ (Reading). Moreover, for every n there is an embedding that preserves the height of elements [Adaricheva 1, Theorem 1, p.3]

- Can every finite bounded lattice be embedded into $P(n)$ for some n ? This has been settled in the negative [Santocanale and Wehrung, Theorem 11.1, p. 22].

- A *Coxeter system* (W, S) is a *Coxeter group* W presented as a generating set S with the following relations:
 $s^2 = 1 \forall s \in S$,
for every $s, t \in S$ such that $s \neq t$ there exists $m(s, t)$ which is the least integer $2 \leq m(s, t) < \infty$ for which $(st)^{m(s,t)} = 1$.
- A Coxeter system can be encoded in a graph called a *Dynkin diagram* with vertices labeled by S and the edges (s, t) for $m(s, t) \geq 3$ labelled by $m(s, t)$.
- By convention, the edge (u, v) is unlabelled when $m(u, v) = 3$.

Cambrian Lattices

Dynkin Diagrams



- Let $w \in W$ be a reduced group word in the alphabet S . Define the *right inversion set* of w as $I(w) := \{s \in S : l(w) < l(ws)\}$.

- Define the *right weak order* on W as the relation

$$v \leq w \Leftrightarrow I(v) \subseteq I(w) \forall v, w \in W.$$

- For any Coxeter group W the weak order makes W a meet-semilattice. If W is finite, the weak order makes W a lattice [Reading, p. 320]

Cambrian Lattices


Cambrian Congruences

- Let G be the Dynkin diagram of a Coxeter system (W, S) . An *orientation* of G , denoted \vec{G} is a directed graph with vertex set S and a single directed edge for every undirected edge in G .
- Given an orientation \vec{G} define the *Cambrian congruence* associated to \vec{G} , denoted $\Theta(\vec{G})$, to be the smallest congruence on the lattice of W with the weak order such that for each directed edge $s \rightarrow t$ in \vec{G} , $t \equiv tsts \cdots$ with $m(s, t) - 1$ letters.

Cambrian Lattices

An Example

- Define the *Cambrian lattice* $C(\vec{G}) := W/\Theta(\vec{G})$.
- Cambrian lattices of the form $C(\vec{S}_n)$ are called *Cambrian lattices of type A*.



Reading, Fig. 2, p. 315

- We will abuse notation and let \vec{S}_n denote an orientation of the Dynkin diagram for the Coxeter group S_n .

Theorem

For every orientation \vec{S}_n the Cambrian lattice $C(\vec{S}_n)$ is a sublattice of the weak order on S_n [Reading, Theorem 6.5, p. 336].

- We now have three related classes of finite lattices: associahedra, permutohedra, and Cambrian lattices of type A.

The Pseudovariety Generated by $P_U(n)$

Major Results

Theorem

*The associahedron $A(n)$ is a Cambrian lattice of type A.
[Santocanale and Wehrung, Proposition 5.2, p. 8]*

Theorem

$C(\vec{S}_n)$ is a lattice theoretical retract of $P(n)$ for every orientation \vec{S}_n . [Santocanale and Wehrung, Proposition 6.4]

The Pseudovariety Generated by $P(n)$

Major Results (cont.)

Theorem

Let O_1, O_2, \dots, O_k be the orientations of S_n . Define $\pi_k: P(n) \rightarrow C(O_k)$ to be the canonical projection. Every lattice $C(O_k)$ is subdirectly irreducible, and the diagonal map

$$\pi: P(n) \rightarrow \prod_{1,2,\dots,k} O_k, x \mapsto (x/\Theta(O_k))$$

is a subdirect product decomposition of $P(n)$.

[Santocanale and Wehrung, Proposition 6.7, p 11]

Not All Bounded Lattices Embed into Permutohedra

The Lattices $B(m, n)$

- Define the $B(m, n)$ to be the lattice obtained from the Boolean lattice with $m + n$ atoms by doubling the join of m atoms.

Theorem

The lattice $B(3, 3)$ cannot be embedded into any permutohedron. [Santocanale and Wehrung, Theorem 11.1, p. 22]

- But hope springs eternal...

Theorem

The lattice $B(3, 3)$ is the homomorphic image of a sublattice of a permutohedron. [Santocanale and Wehrung, Theorem 12.1, p. 26]

Open Questions

- Can we prove that every almost distributive lattice is in $HS(P(n))$ for some n ? [Adaricheva 2, p. 2]
- Even better, can we show that every finite lattice obtained by doubling intervals (i.e., every finite bounded lattice) is in $HS(P(n))$ for some n ?
- What happens when we generalize the construction of Permutohedra to a partially ordered set? (Pouzet, et all)

Thank You!