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#### Abstract

We consider distributional limit of the Pearson chi-square statistic when the number of classes $m_{n}$ increases with the sample size $n$ and $n / \sqrt{m_{n}} \rightarrow \lambda$. Under mild moment conditions, the limit is Gaussian for $\lambda=\infty$, Poisson for finite $\lambda>0$, and degenerate for $\lambda=0$.
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## 1. Preliminaries

The Pearson chi-square statistic is probably one of the best-known and most important objects of statistical science and has played a major role in statistical applications ever since its first appearance in Karl Pearson's work on "randomness testing" (Pearson, 1900). The standard test for goodness-of-fit with the Pearson chi-square statistic tacitly assumes that the support of the discrete distribution of interest is fixed (whether finite or not) and unaffected by the sampling process. However, this assumption may be unrealistic for modern 'big-data' problems which involve complex, adaptive data acquisition processes (see, e.g., Grotzinger et al., 2014 for an example in astro-biology). In many such cases the associated statistical testing problems may be more accurately described in terms of triangular arrays of discrete distributions whose finite supports are dependent upon the collected samples and increase with the samples' size (Pietrzak et al., 2016). Motivated by 'big-data' applications, in this note we establish some asymptotic results for the Pearson chi-square statistic for triangular arrays of discrete random variables for which their number of classes $m_{n}$ grows with the sample size $n$. Specifically, let $X_{n, k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, be i.i.d. random variables having the same distribution as $X_{n}$, where

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n}=i\right)=p_{n}(i)>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}<\infty, n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Recall that the standard Pearson chi-square statistic is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}^{2}=n \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n}} \frac{\left(\hat{p}_{n}(i)-p_{n}(i)\right)^{2}}{p_{n}(i)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the empirical frequencies $\hat{p}_{n}(i)$ are

$$
\hat{p}_{n}(i)=n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I\left(X_{n, k}=i\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, m_{n} .
$$

[^0]As stated above, in what follows we will be interested in the double asymptotic analysis of the weak limit of $\chi_{n}^{2}$, that is, the case when $m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Observe that $\chi_{n}^{2}$ given in (1) can be decomposed into a sum of two uncorrelated components as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}^{2}=n^{-1}\left(U_{n}+S_{n}\right)-n, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}=\sum_{1 \leq k \neq l \leq n} \frac{I\left(X_{n, k}=X_{n, l}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, k}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, k}\right)}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, k}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second equality above introduces notational convention we use throughout. Note that for fixed $n$ the statistic $S_{n}$ is simply a sum of i.i.d. random variables and $U_{n}$ is an unnormalized $U$-statistic (see, e.g., Korolyuk and Borovskich, 2013). It is routine to check that

$$
\mathbb{E} U_{n}=n(n-1) \quad \text { and } \mathbb{E} S_{n}=n m_{n}
$$

and consequently

$$
\mathbb{E} \chi_{n}^{2}=m_{n}-1
$$

Moreover, since we also have $\operatorname{Cov}\left(U_{n}, S_{n}\right)=0$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Var} \chi_{n}^{2}=n^{-2}\left(\mathbb{V a r} S_{n}+\mathbb{V a r} U_{n}\right)=n^{-1}\left[\operatorname{Var} p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n}\right)+2(n-1)\left(m_{n}-1\right)\right]
$$

When $m_{n}=m$ is a constant then the classical result (see, e.g., Shao, 2003, chapter 6 ) implies that the statistic $\chi_{n}^{2}$ asymptotically follows the $\chi^{2}$-distribution with $(m-1)$ degrees of freedom. Consequently, when $m$ is large the standardized statistic $\left(\chi_{n}^{2}-(m-1)\right) / \sqrt{2(m-1)}$ may be approximated by the standard normal distribution. However, in the case when $m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the matters appear to be more subtle and the above normal approximation may or may not be valid depending upon the asymptotic relation of $m_{n}$ and $n$, as described below. Since $S_{n}$ is a sum of i.i.d. random variables, the case when $S_{n}$ contributes to the limit of normalized $\chi_{n}^{2}$ may be largely handled with the standard theory for arrays of i.i.d. variables. Consequently, we focus here on a seemingly more interesting case when the asymptotic influence of $U_{n}$ dominates over that of $S_{n}$. Specifically, throughout the paper we assume that as $n, m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$
(C) $\left(m_{n} n\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Var} p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Note that (C) implies $n^{-1}\left(S_{n}-n m_{n}\right) / \sqrt{2 m_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ in probability and, in particular, is trivially satisfied when $X_{n}$ is a uniform random variable on the integer lattice $1, \ldots, m_{n}$, that is, when $p_{n}(i)=m_{n}^{-1}$ for $i=1 \ldots, m_{n}$. Under condition (C) we get a rather complete picture of the limiting behavior of $\chi_{n}^{2}$. Our main results are presented in Section 2 where we discuss the Poissonian and Gaussian asymptotics. Some examples, relations to asymptotics known in the literature and further discussions are provided in Section 3. The basic tools used in our derivations are listed in the appendix. In what follows limits are taken as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\xrightarrow{d}$ stands for convergence in distribution.

## 2. Poissonian and Gaussian asymptotics

We start with the case when a naive normal approximation for the standardized $\chi_{n}^{2}$ statistic fails. Indeed, as it turns out, when $m_{n}$ is asymptotically of order $n^{2}$, we have the following Poisson limit theorem for $\chi_{n}^{2}$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that the condition (C) holds, as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \rightarrow \lambda \in(0, \infty) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\chi_{n}^{2}-m_{n}}{\sqrt{2 m_{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda} Z-\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad Z \sim \operatorname{Pois}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Due to (C) it suffices to consider the asymptotics of $U_{n}$ alone. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{n}-n(n-1)}{n \sqrt{2 m_{n}}}=\frac{\sqrt{2 m_{n}}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{n, k}-\frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2 m_{n}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{n, 1}=0$ and for $k=2, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n, k}=m_{n}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{I\left(X_{n, j}=X_{n, k}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, j}\right)}=m_{n}^{-1} p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, k}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} I\left(X_{n, j}=X_{n, k}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above representation implies that to prove (6) we need only to show that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{n, k} \xrightarrow{d}$ Pois $\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\right)$. To this end we will verify the conditions of Theorem A. 1 in the appendix, due to Beśka et al. (1982). Denote $\mathcal{F}_{n, 0}=\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n, k}=\sigma\left(X_{n, 1}, \ldots, X_{n, k}\right), k=1, \ldots, n$. Then using the first form of $A_{n, k}$ from (8) we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{1 \leq k \leq n} \mathbb{E}\left(A_{n, k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right) & =m_{n}^{-1} \max _{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{I\left(X_{n, j}=X_{n, k}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, j}\right)} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right) \\
& =\max _{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{k-1}{m_{n}}=\frac{n-1}{m_{n}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

due to (5) and thus (A.1) holds. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(A_{n, k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k-1}{m_{n}}=\frac{n(n-1)}{2 m_{n}} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus (A.2) also follows with $\eta=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}$. Since $A_{n, k} \geq 0$ the required convergence in (A.3) (for any $\epsilon>0$ ) will follow from convergence of the unconditional moments

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} A_{n, k} I\left(\left|A_{n, k}-1\right|>\epsilon\right) \leq \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{E} A_{n, k}^{3}-2 \mathbb{E} A_{n, k}^{2}+\mathbb{E} A_{n, k}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the second form of $A_{n, k}$ from (8) we see that the conditional distribution of $m_{n} p_{n}\left(X_{n, k}\right) A_{n, k}$ given $X_{n, k}$ follows a binomial distribution $\operatorname{Binom}\left(k-1, p_{n}\left(X_{n, k}\right)\right)$. Since for $M \sim \operatorname{Binom}(r, p)$ we have $\mathbb{E} M=r p, \mathbb{E} M^{2}=r p+r(r-1) p^{2}$ and $\mathbb{E} M^{3}=r p+3 r(r-1) p^{2}+r(r-1)(r-2) p^{3}$, we thus obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} A_{n, k}=\frac{1}{m_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}(k-1) \simeq \frac{n^{2}}{2 m_{n}} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} A_{n, k}^{2}=\frac{1}{m_{n}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left((k-1) m_{n}+(k-1)(k-2)\right) \simeq \frac{n^{2}}{2 m_{n}}+\frac{n^{3}}{3 m_{n}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} A_{n, k}^{3} & =\frac{1}{m_{n}^{3}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left((k-1) \mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-2}\left(X_{n}\right)+3(k-1)(k-2) m_{n}+(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)\right) \\
& \simeq \frac{n^{2}}{2 m_{n}^{3}} \mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-2}\left(X_{n}\right)+\frac{n^{3}}{m_{n}^{3}}+\frac{n^{4}}{4 m_{n}^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that (C) and (5) imply $m_{n}^{-2} \mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-2}\left(X_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1$ and therefore

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} A_{n, k}^{3} \simeq \frac{n^{2}}{2 m_{n}^{3}} \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{p_{n}^{2}\left(X_{n}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}
$$

Combining the limits of the last three expressions we conclude that the right-hand side of (10) tends to zero and hence (A.3) of Theorem A. 1 is also satisfied. The result follows.

Let us now consider the case $\frac{n}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \rightarrow \infty$. As it turns out, under this condition the statistic $\chi_{n}^{2}$ is asymptotically Gaussian.
Theorem 2.2. Assume that condition (C) is satisfied and that there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n} m_{n}^{-(1+\delta)} \mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-(1+\delta)}\left(X_{n}\right)<\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \rightarrow \infty . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\chi_{n}^{2}-m_{n}}{\sqrt{2 m_{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} N, \quad N \sim \operatorname{Norm}(0,1) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. Note that under (C) the conditions (11)(with $\delta=1$ ) and (12) are implied by the condition $n / m_{n} \rightarrow \lambda \in(0, \infty)$.

Proof. As in Theorem 2.1, under our assumption (C) it suffices to show convergence in distribution to $N \sim \operatorname{Norm}(0,1)$ of the normalized $U_{n}$ variable

$$
\frac{U_{n}-n(n-1)}{\sqrt{n(n-1) 2\left(m_{n}-1\right)}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{n, k}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n, k}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{n(n-1)\left(m_{n}-1\right)}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{I\left(X_{n, j}=X_{n, k}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, j}\right)}-1\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2} B_{n, k}}{\sqrt{n(n-1)\left(m_{n}-1\right)}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the last equality defines $B_{n, k}$. Since $\mathbb{E}\left(I\left(X_{n, k}=X_{n, j}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right)=p_{n}\left(X_{n, j}\right)$ for any $j=1, \ldots, k-1$, it follows that $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n, k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right)=0$. Consequently, $\left(Y_{n, k}, \mathcal{F}_{n, k}\right)_{k=1, \ldots, n}$ are martingale differences. Therefore, to prove (13) we may use the Lyapunov version of the CLT for martingale differences (see Theorem A. 2 in the appendix).

Due to (14) we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(B_{n, k}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(I\left(X_{n}=X_{n, j}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right)}{p_{n}^{2}\left(X_{n, j}\right)}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k-1} \frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(I\left(X_{n}=X_{n, i}\right), I\left(X_{n}=X_{n, j}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right) p_{n}\left(X_{n, j}\right)} .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Var}\left(I\left(X_{n}=X_{n, j}\right) \mid \mathscr{F}_{n, k-1}\right)=p_{n}\left(X_{n, j}\right)\left(1-p_{n}\left(X_{n, j}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(I\left(X_{n}=X_{n, i}\right), I\left(X_{n}=X_{n, j}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right)=I\left(X_{n, i}=X_{n, j}\right) p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right)-p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right) p_{n}\left(X_{n, j}\right)
$$

we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(B_{n, k}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-1\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k-1}\left(\frac{I\left(X_{n, i}=X_{n, j}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right)}-1\right) .
$$

Consequently, (A.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right)}{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(m_{n}-1\right)}+\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k-1}\left(\frac{I\left(X_{n, i} i X_{n, j}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right)}-1\right)}{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(m_{n}-1\right)} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\mathbb{P}} 0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show the above, we separately consider moments of the summands on the left-hand side of (15). For the first one, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(n-j)\left(p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right) \\
& \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j\left(p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality denotes the distributional equality of random variables. Therefore, using inequality (B.2) given in the appendix, we get (possibly with different universal constants $C$ from line to line)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right)}{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(m_{n}-1\right)}\right|^{1+\delta} \leq C \frac{\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j\left(p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right)\right|^{1+\delta}}{n^{2+2 \delta} m_{n}^{1+\delta}} \\
& \leq C \frac{\mathbb{E}\left|p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right|^{1+\delta} n^{\frac{\delta-1}{2} \vee 0} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^{1+\delta}}{n^{2+2 \delta} m_{n}^{1+\delta}} \\
& \leq C \frac{\mathbb{E}\left|p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right|^{1+\delta} n^{\frac{3(1+\delta)}{2} \vee(2+\delta)}}{n^{2+2 \delta} m_{n}^{1+\delta}} \leq C \frac{\mathbb{E}\left|p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right|^{1+\delta}}{n^{\frac{1+\delta}{2} \wedge \delta} m_{n}^{1+\delta}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of this and the elementary inequality $|a+b|^{p} \leq C\left(|a|^{p}+|b|^{p}\right)$ valid for any $p>0$ and any real $a, b$ we have for some constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, j}\right)-m_{n}\right)}{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(m_{n}-1\right)}\right|^{1+\delta} \leq \frac{C_{1}}{n^{\frac{1+\delta}{2} \wedge \delta}} \frac{\mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-(1+\delta)}\left(X_{n}\right)}{m_{n}^{1+\delta}}+\frac{C_{2}}{n^{\frac{1+\delta}{2} \wedge \delta}} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

For the numerator of the second part on the left hand side of (15) we may write

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k-1}\left(\frac{I\left(X_{n, i}=X_{n, j}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right)}-1\right)=2 \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-1}(n-j)\left(\frac{I\left(X_{n, i}=X_{n, j}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right)}-1\right)
$$

Moreover,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-1}(n-j)\left(\frac{I\left(X_{n, i}=X_{n, j}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right)}-1\right)\right)^{2}=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-1}(n-j)^{2} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{I\left(X_{n, i}=X_{n, j}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right)}-1\right)^{2},
$$

since the expectations of the other terms resulting from squaring the large-bracketed first expression above are equal to zero. Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-1}(n-j)\left(\frac{I\left(X_{n, i}=X_{n, j}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right)}-1\right)\right)^{2} & =\left(m_{n}-1\right) \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-1}(n-j)^{2} \\
& \leq C m_{n} n^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus for the squared expectation of the second term in (15) we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k-1}\left(\frac{I\left(X_{n, i}=X_{n, j}\right)}{p_{n}\left(X_{n, i}\right)}-1\right)}{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(m_{n}-1\right)}\right)^{2} \leq C m_{n}^{-1} \rightarrow 0
$$

Note that here we used the fact that $m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. To finish the proof we only need to show (A.5). Again we will rely on the representation of $Y_{n, k}$ given in (14). Note that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{n, k}\right|^{2+\delta} \leq C n^{-(2+\delta)} m_{n}^{-\left(1+\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \mathbb{E}\left(p_{n}^{-(2+\delta)}\left(X_{n, k}\right)\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(I\left(X_{n, j}=X_{n, k}\right)-p_{n}\left(X_{n, k}\right)\right)\right|^{2+\delta}\right) .
$$

Since $I\left(X_{n, j}=X_{n, k}\right)-p_{n}\left(X_{n, k}\right), j=1, \ldots, k-1$, are conditionally i.i.d. given $X_{n, k}$ and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(I\left(X_{n, j}=X_{n, k}\right)-p_{n}\left(X_{n, k}\right)\right) \mid X_{n, k}\right)=0
$$

then by conditioning with respect to $X_{n, k}$ and applying Rosenthal's inequality (see (B.1) in the appendix) to the conditional moment of the sum we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{n, k}\right|^{2+\delta} & \leq \frac{C}{n^{2+\delta} m_{n}^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(p_{n}^{-(2+\delta)}\left(X_{n}\right)\left((k-1) p_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)+\left[(k-1) p_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)\right]^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq C\left(n^{-\delta} m_{n}^{-\left(1+\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-(1+\delta)}\left(X_{n}\right)+n^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} m_{n}^{-\left(1+\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-\left(1+\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}\left(X_{n}\right)\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of the Schwartz inequality we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} m_{n}^{-\left(1+\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-\left(1+\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}\left(X_{n}\right) & =n^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} m_{n}^{-\left(1+\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(X_{n}\right) p_{n}^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}}\left(X_{n}\right) \\
& \leq n^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \sqrt{m_{n}^{-(1+\delta)} \mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-(1+\delta)}\left(X_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

in view of (11). Therefore, it only suffices to show that the first term in the last expression in (16) converges to zero. But this follows due to (11) and (12), since

$$
\frac{\mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-(1+\delta)}\left(X_{n}\right)}{n^{\delta} m_{n}^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}}=\left(\frac{\sqrt{m_{n}}}{n}\right)^{\delta} \frac{\mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-(1+\delta)}\left(X_{n}\right)}{m_{n}^{1+\delta}} \rightarrow 0
$$

## 3. Discussion

We will now illustrate the results of the previous section with some examples as well as put them in a broader context of earlier work by others. For the sake of completeness, we first note

Remark 3.1 (The Case $\lambda=0$ ). Consider $\frac{n}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \rightarrow 0$. Then the last part of the right hand side of ( 7 ) converges to zero and we are left with the sum of non-negative random variables which satisfies

$$
\frac{2 \sqrt{m_{n}}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{n, k} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0
$$

To see the above, it suffices to consider the convergence of the first moments. To this end note that

$$
\frac{2 \sqrt{m_{n}}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} A_{n, k}=\frac{2 \sqrt{m_{n}}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k-1}{m_{n}}=\frac{n-1}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \rightarrow 0
$$

The simple illustration of Theorem 2.2 is as follows.
Example 3.1. Let $\alpha \in[0,1)$ and set $p_{n}(i)=\left(C_{\alpha} i^{\alpha}\right)^{-1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m_{n}$. Here $C_{\alpha}=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{n}} i^{-\alpha} \simeq m_{n}^{1-\alpha} /(1-\alpha)$ in view of the general formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m_{n}} i^{\beta} \simeq m_{n}^{\beta+1} /(\beta+1) \quad \text { for } \beta>-1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $0<\alpha<1$ the condition (C) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
n / m_{n} \rightarrow \infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and implies (12). Applying (17) again we see that for any $\delta>0$

$$
\frac{\mathbb{E} p_{n}^{-(1+\delta)}\left(X_{n}\right)}{m_{n}^{1+\delta}}=\frac{C_{\alpha}^{\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n}} i^{\alpha \delta}}{m_{n}^{1+\delta}} \simeq \frac{m_{n}^{(1-\alpha) \delta} m_{n}^{1+\alpha \delta}}{(1-\alpha)^{\delta}(1+\alpha \delta) m_{n}^{1+\delta}}=(1-\alpha)^{-\delta}(1+\alpha \delta)^{-1}<\infty
$$

and therefore (11) is also satisfied. Hence, the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 holds true under (18) for $0<\alpha<1$.
Note that in the above example the assumption (5) of Theorem 2.1 cannot be satisfied for $0<\alpha<1$ (see (18)) but can hold for $\alpha=0$, that is, when the distribution is uniform. We remark that in our present setting such distribution is of interest, for instance, when testing for signal-noise threshold in data with large number of support points (Pietrzak et al., 2016). Combining the results of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 and Remark 3.1 one obtains the following.

Corollary 3.2 (Asymptotics of $\chi_{n}^{2}$ for Uniform Distribution). Assume that $p_{n}(i)=m_{n}^{-1}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}$ and $n=1,2, \ldots$ as well as

$$
n / \sqrt{m_{n}} \rightarrow \lambda
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\chi_{n}^{2}-m_{n}}{\sqrt{2 m_{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{cases}0 & \text { when } \lambda=0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda} Z-\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad Z \sim \operatorname{Pois}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\right) & \text { when } \lambda \in(0, \infty) \\ N \sim \operatorname{Norm}(0,1) & \text { when } \lambda=\infty\end{cases}
$$

We note that the asymptotic distribution of $\chi_{n}^{2}$ when both $n$ and $m_{n}$ tend to infinity has been considered by several authors, typically in the context of asymptotics of families of goodness-of-fit statistics related to different divergence distances. Some of these results considered also the asymptotic behavior of such statistics not only under the null hypothesis (as we did here) but also under simple alternatives and hence are, in that sense, more general. However, when applied to the chi-square statistic under the null hypothesis they appear to be special cases of our theorems in Section 2. We briefly review below some of the most relevant results.

Tumanyan $(1954,1956)$ proved asymptotic normality of $\chi_{n}^{2}$ under the assumption $\min _{1 \leq i \leq m_{n}} n p_{n}(i) \rightarrow \infty$ which in the case of the uniform distribution is equivalent to $n / m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, a condition obviously stronger than $n / \sqrt{m_{n}} \rightarrow \infty$ we use (see Corollary 3.2).

Steck (1957) generalized these results on normal asymptotics assuming among other conditions that inf $n / m_{n}>0$ which again is stronger than $n / \sqrt{m_{n}} \rightarrow \infty$. He also obtained the Poissonian and degenerate limit in the case of uniform distribution, in agreement with the first two cases in Corollary 3.2. The main result of Holst (1972) for the chi-square statistic gives normal asymptotics under the regime $n / m_{n} \rightarrow \lambda \in(0, \infty)$ and $\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} p_{n}(j)<\beta / n$ which also is stronger than our assumptions. In the uniform case under this regime the result was proved earlier in Harris and Park (1971). The main result of Morris (1975) for the chi-square statistics gives asymptotic normality under $n \min _{1 \leq j \leq n} p_{n}(j)>\epsilon>0$ for all $n \geq 1$, $\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} p_{n}(j) \rightarrow 0$ and the "uniform asymptotically negligible" condition of the form $\max _{1 \leq i \leq m_{n}} \sigma_{n}^{2}(i) / s_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 0$, where $\sigma_{n}^{2}(i)=2+\frac{\left(1-m_{n} p_{n}(i)\right)^{2}}{n p_{n}(i)}, i=1, \ldots, m_{n}$, and $s_{n}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{n}} \sigma_{n}^{2}(i)$. In the case of the uniform distribution it gives asymptotic normality of $\chi_{n}^{2}$ under the condition $n / m_{n}>\epsilon>0$, the result apparently weaker than the third part of Corollary 3.2.

Following the paper of Cressie and Read (1984) introducing the family of power divergence statistics (of which the chisquare statistic is a member), much effort was directed at proving asymptotic normality for wider families of divergence distances as well as for more than one multinomial independent sample, see e.g. Menéndez et al. (1998) and Pérez and Pardo (2002) (in both papers the authors considered the regime $n / m_{n} \rightarrow \lambda \in(0, \infty)$ ) and Inglot et al. (1991) and Morales et al. (2003) (in both papers the authors considered the regime $m_{n}^{1+\beta} \log ^{2}(n) / n \rightarrow 0$ and $m_{n}^{\beta} \min _{1 \leq j \leq n} p_{n}(j)>c>0$ for some $\beta \geq 1$ ) or Pietrzak et al. (2016) (with the regime $n / m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ ). Note that for the asymptotic normality results all these regimes are again more stringent than what we consider here.

Finally, for completeness, we briefly address one of the scenarios when condition (C) does not hold.
Remark 3.3. Note that if $\frac{m_{n} n}{\operatorname{Var} p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ then the asymptotic behavior of standardized $\chi_{n}^{2}$ is the same as that of $Z_{n}=$ $\sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{n, k}$, where

$$
Y_{n, k}=\frac{p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n, k}\right)-m_{n}}{\sqrt{n \operatorname{Var} p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n}\right)}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n
$$

Since for any fixed $n \geq 1$ random variables $Y_{n, k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, are i.i.d. (zero mean) and $\mathbb{V a r} Y_{n, k}=n^{-1}$ it follows that $\left\{Y_{n, k}, k=1, \ldots, n\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is an infinitesimal array. Therefore classical CLT for row-wise i.i.d. triangular arrays (cf., e.g., Shao, 2003, chapter 1) applies. Note also that the remaining case when $\frac{m_{n} n}{\operatorname{Var} p_{n}^{-1}\left(X_{n}\right)} \rightarrow \lambda \in(0, \infty)$ appears more complicated and requires a different approach.
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## Appendix A. Limit theorems

Below, for convenience of the readers, we recall some results which are used in the proofs. The first one is found in Beśka et al. (1982) and the second one is a version of the martingale CLT (see, e.g., Hall and Heyde, 1980).

Theorem A. 1 (Poissonian Conditional Limit Theorem). Let $\left\{Z_{n, k}, k=1, \ldots, n ; n \geq 1\right\}$ be a double sequence of non-negative random variables adapted to a row-wise increasing double sequence of $\sigma$-fields $\left\{g_{n, k-1}, k=1, \ldots, n ; n \geq 1\right\}$. If for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{1 \leq k \leq n} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{n, k} \mid g_{n, k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0  \tag{A.1}\\
& \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{n, k} \mid g_{n, k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \eta>0 \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and for any $\epsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{n, k} I\left(\left|Z_{n, k}-1\right|>\epsilon\right) \mid \mathscr{g}_{n, k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{n, k} \xrightarrow{d} Z$, where $Z \sim \operatorname{Pois}(\eta)$ is a Poisson random variable.
Theorem A. 2 (Lyapunov-Type Martingale CLT). Let $\left\{\left(Z_{n, k}, \mathcal{F}_{n, k}\right) k=1, \ldots, n ; n \geq 1\right\}$ be a double sequence of martingale differences. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n, k}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 1 \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} Y_{n, k}^{2+\delta} \rightarrow 0 \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{n, k} \xrightarrow{d} N$, where $N \sim \operatorname{Norm}(0,1)$ is a standard normal random variable.

## Appendix B. Moment inequalities

The following moment inequalities are used in Section 2.

## Rosenthal inequality

Rosenthal (1970). If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent and centered random variables such that $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{i}\right|^{r}<\infty, i=1, \ldots, n$ and $r>2$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right|^{r} & \leq C_{r} \max \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{i}\right|^{r},\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{r}{2}}\right\} \\
& \leq C_{r}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{i}\right|^{r}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{r}{2}}\right) . \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

## MZ-BE inequality

Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund (1937) for $r \geq 2$, von Bahr and Esseen (1965) for $1 \leq r \leq 2$. If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent and centered random variables such that $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{i}\right|^{r}<\infty, i=1, \ldots, n$ then for $r>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right|^{r} \leq C_{r} n^{r_{*}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{i}\right|^{r}, \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{*}=0 \vee\left(\frac{r}{2}-1\right)$.
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