

Bifurcation sets of families of reflections on surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3

P. J. Giblin

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool,
Liverpool L69 7ZL, UK (pjgiblin@liv.ac.uk)

S. Janeczko

Instytut Matematyczny PAN, ul. Sniadeckich 8,
00-950 Warszawa, Poland

and

Wydział Matematyki i Nauk Informacyjnych, Politechnika Warszawska,
Pl. Politechniki 1, 00-661 Warszawa, Poland
(janeczko@mini.pw.edu.pl)

(MS received 29 August 2014; accepted 17 November 2015)

We introduce a new affinely invariant structure on smooth surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 by defining a family of reflections in all points of the surface. We show that the bifurcation set of this family has a special structure at ‘ A_2^* points’, which are not detected by the flat geometry of the surface. These A_2^* points (without an associated structure on the surface) have also arisen in the study of the centre symmetry set; using our technique we are able to explain how the points are created and annihilated in a generic family of surfaces. We also present the bifurcation set in a global setting.

Keywords: affine invariants; surfaces; parabolic sets; bifurcation sets

2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 53A05
Secondary 57R45

1. Introduction

Symmetries of various kinds have played an important role in the study of surfaces in Euclidean 3-space \mathbb{R}^3 and in applications of the geometry of such surfaces. One of the best-known examples is the (*Blum*) *medial axis*, or *medial axis transform* [17], which is constructed for a smooth closed surface M by taking the closure of the locus of centres c of spheres S that are entirely contained inside the closed region of \mathbb{R}^3 bounded by M and tangent to M at two places (or more). The connection here with symmetry is local: the plane π through c perpendicular to the line joining two points \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{q} of contact of S and M is a *local or first-order plane of symmetry* for M . This means that reflection in π takes \mathbf{p} to \mathbf{q} and also takes the tangent plane of M at \mathbf{p} to the tangent plane at \mathbf{q} . Furthermore, π is tangent to the medial axis (at smooth points). Thus, the medial axis captures some aspects of the *local reflectional symmetries* of M , where here ‘reflectional’ means reflection in a plane.

There are close connections between the singularities of the medial axis and the contact between S and M (or singularities of the distance-squared function on M from points of \mathbb{R}^3 [6, 8]). This leads to close relations with the differential geometry of M , such as ridge curves where two contact points \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{q} coincide. The bifurcation set of the family of distance-squared functions on M is the union of the focal set of M (the locus of centres of principal curvature) and the *symmetry set* of M , which is the closure of the locus of centres of *all* spheres tangent to M at two points (or more) [9, 17]. The medial axis has proved to be a major tool in computer vision [17]. Besides distance-squared functions, which are said to extract the ‘spherical geometry’ of M , the family of *height functions* on M extracts the affinely invariant ‘flat geometry’ such as parabolic curves, asymptotic curves and cusps of Gauss (also known as godrons) [5, 7].

A different use of reflections, also closely connected with differential geometry, was made by Bruce and Wilkinson in [4]. They studied the local reflectional symmetry of a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 by considering reflection in planes containing the normal line to M at a point $\mathbf{p} \in M$ and the family of ‘folding maps’. Principal directions on M emerge naturally in this way, but, perhaps more importantly, the bifurcation set of the family of folding maps is the union of the *duals* of the focal set and symmetry set. Thus, information is available about the tangential structure of these sets by studying local symmetries. This includes the ‘sub-parabolic points’ of M (points at which the corresponding point on the focal surface is parabolic), of significance in shape analysis and computational geometry [9].

A number of authors have studied a local version of *central symmetry*: the *centre symmetry set* of a surface M in \mathbb{R}^3 is the (affinely invariant) envelope of chords joining distinct points \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{q} at which the tangent planes to M are parallel. For a globally centrally symmetric surface this envelope degenerates to a single point that is the centre of all such chords. This subject was initiated for plane curves in [16] and followed up in many articles; see, for example, [12, 13]. There are connections here not only with the differential geometry of M , but also with physics via the ‘Wigner caustic’ [2, 10] and Finsler geometry [12]. The structure of the centre symmetry set has been shown to give information about M that does not arise from any of the above methods [13].

In this article we contribute to the above programme of relating differential geometry of surfaces to the theory of singularities and symmetry. We work from a different viewpoint to those above: we study the family of reflection maps in points of M itself. That is, for each $\mathbf{p} \in M$ we take the map reflecting M in \mathbf{p} and study the contact function between M and the reflected surface M^* . It turns out that the bifurcation set of this family of functions extracts in a very simple way much of the information about the differential geometry of M that comes via the centre symmetry set. In this instance we are able to give a global meaning to the bifurcation set of the family of local reflection maps, and we are able to determine, in a family of surfaces, how the special features of the bifurcation are created and destroyed. In a subsequent article we plan to carry out a similar investigation for surfaces in \mathbb{R}^4 .

2. Previous work and plan of this article

In [11] we introduced an affinely invariant family of reflection maps on a surface M in three- or four-dimensional space and studied the relation of this family to the

underlying geometry of the surface. Given a point \mathbf{p} of M , the reflection map based at \mathbf{p} takes $\mathbf{m} \in M$ to its reflection \mathbf{m}^* in \mathbf{p} , and as \mathbf{m} traces out a neighbourhood of \mathbf{p} , so \mathbf{m}^* traces out a surface M^* whose contact with M at \mathbf{p} is measured by the *contact map*. Varying \mathbf{p} now produces a family of reflection maps and contact maps that give geometrical information about M distinct from that given by, say, the family of height functions on M , which are related to the flat geometry of M . The reflection maps pick out the parabolic set of M and also special points of the parabolic set, called ‘ A_2^* points’. These arose in the study of the *affine equidistants* and *centre symmetry set* of M . Both of these depend on chords joining pairs of points of M with parallel tangent planes; an affine equidistant is the locus of points at a fixed ratio of distance from the ends of such chords, and the centre symmetry set is the envelope of the chords (when this exists). Then at A_2^* parabolic points of M the structures of the ‘halfway equidistant’ (ratio of distances = 1) and the centre symmetry set are different from the structures at other parabolic points. See [14, p. 74, definition 3.3].

In the present article we consider in detail the affinely invariant bifurcation set of the family of reflection maps for a smooth surface M in \mathbb{R}^3 . We identify this bifurcation set with the set of critical values of a *symmetric* map $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, and use the classification of projections of surfaces with boundary in [3] to identify it. (For an alternative approach to this classification, see [15].) The role of the ‘boundary’ is taken by the parabolic set of M , and the bifurcation set in a neighbourhood of a parabolic point of M always contains the parabolic set. At special parabolic points it has an extra branch arising from a ‘semi-fold’ singularity in the terminology of [3]. These points are the ‘ A_2^* ’ points referred to above, and they are affinely invariant points of M . There is no special structure at a cusp of Gauss: the reflection maps do not ‘recognize’ these points that affect the flat geometry of M .

Our work provides a geometrical interpretation of the A_2^* points, as well as an explanation of how they are created or disappear in a generic one-parameter family of surfaces, something that was left unsolved in [18]. In fact these transitions occur at singularities of the semi-lips or semi-beaks type in the language of [3]. We show that another codimension 1 singularity, the semi-cusp, cannot occur in the present context.

The bifurcation set that we study appears to be most meaningful in a neighbourhood of the parabolic set, but it does extend over the surface, in both elliptic and hyperbolic regions. Apart from in §7 we shall work locally, parametrizing M as a graph.

In §3 we study the family of reflections. In §4 we study the bifurcation set \mathcal{B}_F , splitting into three cases: case 1, a non-singular parabolic point that is not a cusp of Gauss; case 2, a cusp of Gauss; and case 3, a singular parabolic point. These split into subcases according to additional geometric conditions on M . In §5 we study in more detail families of surfaces in order to discover how the bifurcation set evolves when the surface M is perturbed in a generic way. The same three cases are considered. In §6 we give some explicit examples and in §7 we place our investigation in the setting of global surfaces, given by equations of the form $g(x, y, z) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Here are the main results of this article, with references to the sections where details can be found.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{p} \in M$. The structure of the bifurcation set of the family of reflection maps in a neighbourhood of \mathbf{p} is (as a subset of M) as follows.*

- *The parabolic curve, if \mathbf{p} is not an A_2^* point of M . See § 4, case 1(a) (also case 2(a) for \mathbf{p} a cusp of Gauss).*
- *The parabolic curve and a branch tangent to it and terminating at \mathbf{p} , if \mathbf{p} is an A_2^* point of M . The branch can lie locally in either the elliptic or hyperbolic region of M , giving two types: elliptic and hyperbolic A_2^* points. See § 4, case 1(b) and figure 1(i).*

Furthermore, we have the following.

- *For a generic one-parameter family of surfaces, A_2^* points of the same type are created or destroyed through a ‘semi-lips’ or ‘semi-beaks’ transition. See §§ 4 and 5, case 1(c).*
- *The projection of surfaces with a boundary singularity ‘semi-cusp’ cannot occur in the present context. See § 4, case 1(d).*
- *There is no creation of A_2^* points at Morse-type transitions of the parabolic curve. See §§ 4 and 5, case 3.*

3. The family of reflections

For the local version of the family we take a surface M in \mathbb{R}^3 in Monge form, that is, as the graph $z = f(x, y)$ of a smooth function f , which we write in the form

$$f(x, y) = f_{20}x^2 + f_{11}xy + f_{02}y^2 + \cdots + f_{ij}x^i y^j + \cdots \quad (3.1)$$

in order to perform calculations. For the most part we take the origin to be a parabolic point of M , with $f_{11} = f_{02} = 0$, and we can scale to make $f_{20} = 1$. All our constructions are invariant under affine transformations in the ambient \mathbb{R}^3 .

Let $\mathbf{p} = (p, q, r)$, where $r = f(p, q)$, be a point of M and consider the map that reflects M in this point. That is, for any other point $\mathbf{m} = (p+x, q+y, r+z)$ of M , where $z = f(p+x, q+y) - f(p, q)$, we reflect in \mathbf{p} to obtain $\mathbf{m}^* = (p-x, q-y, r-z)$, which will of course not in general lie on M . As x and y vary, \mathbf{m}^* traces another surface M^* through \mathbf{p} and we can measure the contact between M and M^* at \mathbf{p} . The contact function is the composite F given by parametrizing M^* and following by the function whose zero-set is M :

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) &\mapsto (p-x, q-y, r-z) \\ &\mapsto f(p-x, q-y) - (r-z) \\ &= f(p+x, q+y) + f(p-x, q-y) - 2f(p, q) \\ &= F(x, y, p, q). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Note that F is symmetric in x and y : we always have $F(x, y, p, q) = F(-x, -y, p, q)$. It can be regarded as a two-parameter unfolding, with parameters p, q of the function $F_0(x, y) = F(x, y, 0, 0) = f(x, y) + f(-x, -y)$, which is twice the even part of f , and the bifurcation set we study in this article is in this sense.

Our objective in this article is to calculate the bifurcation set of F , namely (using suffixes to denote partial derivatives),

$$\mathcal{B}_F = \{(p, q) : \text{there exist } x, y \text{ with } F_x = F_y = F_{xx}F_{yy} - F_{xy}^2 = 0\}.$$

This lies in the parameter plane of M but can also be considered as a subset of M itself. If the origin is not a parabolic point, then the equation $F_{xx}F_{yy} - F_{xy}^2 = 0$ contains a constant term, namely, a multiple of $4f_{20}f_{02} - f_{11}^2$, so that small solutions for x, y are not possible. We shall assume unless otherwise stated that the origin is parabolic, since we are chiefly interested in the germ of \mathcal{B}_F at a point of the parabolic set of M . Nevertheless, the bifurcation set does have a global structure, away from the parabolic curve, and we give a ‘semi-global’ example in figure 3.

In order to study \mathcal{B}_F we shall consider the critical set

$$\Sigma_F = \{(x, y, p, q) : F_x = F_y = 0\}. \tag{3.3}$$

A significant source of difficulty is that, from the definition in (3.2), all points $(0, 0, p, q)$ belong to Σ_F . These points, the ‘trivial component’ of Σ_F , prevent Σ_F from being smooth; they have to be eliminated before we can compute the structure of \mathcal{B}_F as the set of critical values of a projection $\pi : \Sigma_F^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, (x, y, p, q) \mapsto (p, q)$, where Σ_F^0 is the ‘non-trivial’ component of Σ_F . This is defined precisely in lemma 4.1.

4. The bifurcation set of F for a generic surface

In this section we show how to eliminate the ‘trivial’ component of Σ_F , yielding a smooth surface in (x, y, p, q) -space; this allows us to reduce the problem to the study of a symmetric map from the plane to the plane. We take the classification up to codimension 1, that is, singularities that we expect to occur on a generic surface or in a generic one-parameter family of surfaces.

Case 1: the origin is a parabolic point of M but not a cusp of Gauss

At an ordinary parabolic point of M the tangent plane has A_2 contact with M , while at a cusp of Gauss it has A_3 contact. With M in Monge form the contact at the origin with the tangent plane is measured by the function f itself. Thus, in (3.1) we take $f_{20} = 1, f_{11} = 0, f_{02} = 0$, and also $f_{03} \neq 0$ to avoid A_3 contact. Using this, it is easy to check that, by an affine transformation, we can also assume that $f_{12} = 0$, and we shall do this since it simplifies the formulae that occur later. Thus, for case 1, f has the form

$$f(x, y) = x^2 + f_{30}x^3 + f_{21}x^2y + f_{03}y^3 + \text{higher terms}, \quad f_{03} \neq 0.$$

LEMMA 4.1. *Let f be as above. Then the component Σ_F^0 other than $\{(0, 0, p, q)\}$ of Σ_F is locally a smooth 2-manifold parametrized locally in the form $(y, p) \mapsto (X(y, p, Q(y, p)), y, p, Q(y, p))$, where Q is in fact a smooth function of y^2 and p ; in fact $Q_y = yV(y, p)$, where $V(0, 0) = -\frac{4}{3}f_{04}$.*

Proof. We break the proof up into several steps, (i)–(v) below. The coefficient of x in F_x is 2, and hence $F_x = 0$ can be solved locally for $x = X(y, p, q)$, say.

(i) $X(y, p, q) = -X(-y, p, q)$ for all y, p, q ; in particular, $X(0, p, q) \equiv 0$.

Now $x = X$ is the unique solution to $F_x(x, y, p, q) = 0$, and $F_x(-x, -y, p, q) = -F_x(x, y, p, q)$ from the definition of F . Thus,

$$F_x(-X(-y, p, q), -y, p, q) = -F_x(X(y, p, q), y, p, q) \equiv 0,$$

and $x = -X(-y, p, q)$ is the unique solution to $F_x(x, y, p, q) = 0$ and hence equals $X(y, p, q)$.

Now substitute $x = X$ into $F_y = 0$; then $F_y(X(0, p, q), 0, p, q) = F_y(0, 0, p, q) \equiv 0$, so that by Hadamard's lemma, $F_y(X(y, p, q), y, p, q) = yU(y, p, q)$ for a smooth function U . Note that the solution $y = 0$ to $F_y = 0$ leads to $x = X(0, p, q) = 0$, so it is the other solution, $U(y, p, q) = 0$, that we wish to pursue.

(ii) $U(y, p, q) = U(-y, p, q)$; therefore U is a function of y^2, p and q . Also, the only term in U of degree less than or equal to 1 is $3q$, so $U(0, 0, 0) = 0$.

We have $F_y(X(-y, p, q), -y, p, q) = -yU(-y, p, q)$. But the left-hand side of this is $U(-X(y, p, q), -y, p, q)$ by (i), and $F_y(-x, -y, p, q) = -F_y(x, y, p, q)$ for any x, y , from the definition of F . So $-yU(y, p, q) = -F_y(X(y, p, q), y, p, q) = -yU(-y, p, q)$ for all values of y, p, q and this gives the first result. The last sentence follows from a direct calculation.

A calculation shows that $U_q(0, 0, 0) = F_{yyyq}(0, 0, 0, 0) = 12 \neq 0$, so that $U = 0$ can be solved for $q = Q(y, p)$, say, so that $U(y, p, Q(y, p)) \equiv 0$.

(iii) $Q(y, p) = Q(-y, p)$, so that Q is a function of y^2 and p (and $Q(0, 0) = 0$).

Now $q = Q(y, p)$ is the unique solution to $U(y, p, q) = 0$, so $U(y, p, Q(y, p)) \equiv 0$, and replacing y by $-y$ we have $U(-y, p, Q(-y, p)) \equiv 0$. But the left-hand side is $U(y, p, Q(-y, p))$ by (ii), so by uniqueness $Q(-y, p) = Q(y, p)$.

(iv) $Q_y(-y, p) = -Q_y(y, p)$ for all y, p and, in particular, $Q_y(0, p) = 0$ for all p , so that $Q_y = yV(y, p)$ for a smooth function V , and the critical set of π away from the parabolic curve is given by $V(y, p) = 0$.

This is immediate from (iii).

(v) We have $V(0, 0) = -\frac{4}{3}f_{04}$.

This follows from a direct calculation and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

COROLLARY 4.2. *The bifurcation set \mathcal{B}_F that we wish to study is the set of critical values of the projection $\pi: \Sigma_F^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $\pi(y, p) = (p, Q(y, p))$.*

LEMMA 4.3. *The set of points $\pi(0, p) = (p, Q(0, p))$ is locally the parabolic set of M , and this forms part of the bifurcation set.*

Note that when f has 2-jet equal to x^2 , the parabolic set on $M: z = f(x, y)$ is smooth at the origin unless $f_{12} = f_{03} = 0$, and parametrized by x provided that $f_{03} \neq 0$.

Proof. This is a matter of using the information in (i)–(iv) above, together with $F_{xx}(0, 0, p, q) = 2f_{xx}(p, q)$ and similarly for F_{xy}, F_{yy} . In fact, differentiating

$$F_x(X(y, p, q), y, p, q) \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_y(X(y, p, q), y, p, q) = yU(y, p, q)$$

with respect to y , putting $y = 0$ and using the properties above, we deduce that

$$F_{xx}(0, 0, p, Q(0, p))F_{yy}(0, 0, p, Q(0, p)) = F_{xy}(0, 0, p, Q(0, p))^2$$

for all p . The last sentence follows from (iv) above. □

Since Q is a function of y^2 and p , say $Q(y, p) = K(y^2, p)$, by lemma 4.1 the map π in the corollary will be classified according to the classification of symmetric maps, which coincides with that of singularities of projections of surfaces with boundary. We use the inductive classification in [3, § 3], and for this we need the expansion of the function K about $(0, 0)$. In our situation the parabolic set takes the role of the boundary $y = 0$, by lemma 4.3. We write Y for y^2 , so that K is a function of Y and p . The singularity that is relevant for us is the corank 1 singularity of $\tilde{\pi}: (Y, p) \mapsto (p, K(Y, p))$ so that changes of coordinates in the source must preserve the ‘boundary’ $Y = 0$.

Case 1(a): an ordinary (‘ A_2 ’) point of the parabolic set.

Recall that $f_{03} \neq 0$; if also $f_{04} \neq 0$, then

$$K(Y, p) = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{f_{04}}{f_{03}} Y + \text{higher terms,}$$

so that as a boundary singularity $\tilde{\pi}$ is equivalent to $(Y, p) \mapsto (p, Y)$:

if $f_{03} \neq 0, f_{04} \neq 0$, then the bifurcation set consists locally of the (smooth) parabolic set.

Thus, by an ordinary A_2 point we mean one for which $f_{04} \neq 0$. As noted above, by A_2 we refer to the contact of M with its tangent plane. The boundary singularity is a local diffeomorphism.

Case 1(b): a parabolic point at which $f_{04} = 0$ (an ‘ A_2^ ’ point’).*

Such points were called A_2^* points in [14], where they were related to the structure of certain equidistants. When $f_{04} = 0$ the function K has the following 2-jet, ignoring terms depending only on p and using c_{ij} to denote the coefficient of $Y^i p^j$,

$$c_{20}Y^2 + c_{11}Yp = \frac{f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06}}{4f_{03}} Y^2 + \frac{2(f_{21}f_{13} - f_{14})}{3f_{03}} Yp.$$

The classification in [3, § 3] is according to which of these coefficients is non-zero.

Suppose that *both are non-zero*; then the boundary singularity is equivalent to $(Y, p) \mapsto (p, Yp + Y^2)$, which is 2-determined. This is the stable ‘semi-fold’ singularity:

if $f_{03} \neq 0, f_{04} = 0, f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06} \neq 0, f_{21}f_{13} - f_{14} \neq 0$, then the bifurcation set consists locally of the (smooth) parabolic set and another smooth curve tangent to it at the origin, and ending there.

See figure 1(i) for a schematic representation.

There are two distinct kinds of semi-fold, since the curve tangent to the parabolic set can lie locally in the elliptic or the hyperbolic region of M ; we can refer to these as *elliptic* A_2^* points or *hyperbolic* A_2^* points. See figure 3 for an example with several hyperbolic A_2^* points.

LEMMA 4.4. *Assume as above that $f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06}$ and $f_{21}f_{13} - f_{14}$ are non-zero. Then the second branch of the bifurcation set \mathcal{B}_F at an A_2^* point is locally in the hyperbolic (respectively, elliptic) region of M if and only if $f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06} > 0$ (respectively, $f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06} < 0$). This holds if and only if the singularity of $F_0(x, y) = F(x, y, 0, 0)$ is of type A_5^+ (respectively, A_5^-), that is, right-equivalent to $x^2 + y^6$ (respectively, $x^2 - y^6$).*

Proof. Following through the calculations up to (iv) above, we find that the critical set of π , away from the parabolic set, is given by $V(y, p) = 0$, where

$$V(y, p) = \frac{4(f_{21}f_{13} - f_{14})}{3f_{03}}p + \frac{f_{13}^2 - f_{06}}{f_{03}}y^2 + \dots,$$

and solving this for p as a function of y , say $p = A(y)$, the branch of the critical set is given by $(A(y), Q(y, A(y)))$. Substituting these into $f_{xx}f_{yy} - f_{xy}^2$ gives $3(4f_{06} - f_{13}^2)y^4 + \dots$. When this is negative the branch lies in the hyperbolic region.

The last sentence follows because $F_0(x, y)$ is twice the even part of f , using a standard argument with right-equivalence of functions. \square

REMARK 4.5. We remark that the A_2^* points are not related to the ‘goose’ points on the parabolic set, as described in, for example, [1]. These are parabolic points such that projection of the surface in the unique asymptotic direction to a plane yields a rhamphoid cusp in the image. A necessary condition for this is, in the notation of (3.1), and assuming $f_{11} = f_{02} = 0$, that $f_{12}^2 = 3f_{21}f_{03}$, a condition unrelated to that for an A_2^* point.

Case 1(c): $f_{40} = 0$, $c_{11} = 0$, i.e. $f_{21}f_{13} - f_{14} = 0$; $c_{20} \neq 0$, i.e. $f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06} \neq 0$.

Following the classification in [3, § 3] we examine the cubic terms of K ; a change of variable of the form $Y \rightarrow Y(1 + \alpha p + \beta Y)$ for constants α and β (this change of variable preserving $Y = 0$) removes the cubic terms in Y^2p and Y^3 , leaving the important term $c_{12}Yp^2$, where

$$c_{12} = \frac{3f_{03}(3f_{31}f_{13} + 4f_{21}f_{23} - 2f_{24} - 2f_{21}^2f_{22} - 6f_{30}f_{21}f_{13}) + 10f_{05}(f_{22} - f_{21}^2)}{9f_{03}^2}.$$

If $c_{12} \neq 0$, then from the classification $\tilde{\pi}$ is equivalent to $(Y, p) \mapsto (p, Y^2 \pm Yp^2)$, where the sign is that of $c_{20}c_{12}$. This is called a *semi-lips* or *semi-beaks* according to whether the sign is + or -. In this case the critical set of $\tilde{\pi}$ is tangent to the ‘boundary’ $Y = 0$ but transverse to the kernel line of the map $\tilde{\pi}$. This case will be relevant in the next section, where we discuss one-parameter families of surfaces. We sum it up as follows.

LEMMA 4.6. *With the conditions $f_{40} = 0$, $f_{21}f_{13} - f_{14} = 0$, $f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06} \neq 0$, the boundary singularity of $\tilde{\pi}$ is of semi-lips or semi-beaks type according to whether $c_{20}c_{12}$ is greater than 0 or less than 0. It is hyperbolic or elliptic according to whether c_{20} is greater than 0 or less than 0, where $c_{20} = (f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06})/4f_{03}$ as above.*

For additional information on case 1(c), see § 5.

In the case of the singularity, called semi-*goose* in [3], which is a degeneration of the semi-lips/beaks discussed here, we have $c_{20} = c_{11} = 0$ and the vanishing of the complicated expression c_{12} above means in geometrical terms that the critical set of $\tilde{\pi}$ and the ‘boundary’ $Y = 0$ have inflectional contact. This is in line with the classification of [3].

Case 1(d): $f_{40} = 0, c_{11} \neq 0$, i.e. $f_{21}f_{13} - f_{14} \neq 0; c_{20} = 0$, i.e. $f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06} = 0$.

In this case a change of variable in Y , as in case 1(c), removes the cubic terms except for Y^3 , but the coefficient c_{30} of Y^3 turns out to be a multiple of c_{20} , which we are assuming is zero. So the ‘semi-cusp case’, equivalent to $(Y, p) \mapsto (p, Yp + Y^3)$, cannot occur in our situation.

Case 2: the origin is a cusp of Gauss (godron)

Here, in (3.1) we take $f_{20} = 1, f_{11} = 0, f_{02} = 0$, and also $f_{03} = 0$, but assume that $f_{12} \neq 0$ so that the parabolic set of M is not singular at the origin. (So in this case f_{12} cannot be made zero by an affine transformation, as it could in case 1.) In fact there is very little to say here, since cusps of Gauss are no different from parabolic points as in case 1(a) above: the reflection maps do not distinguish cusps of Gauss but they do distinguish quite different points, the A_2^* points of the parabolic curve. We shall not give so much detail as above; in the present case the parametrization of the ‘non-trivial’ part of the critical set Σ_F is different since p is a smooth function of q rather than the other way round. We reduce to a map $\pi: (y, q) \mapsto (q, P(y, q))$, where, as before, P is a function of $Y = y^2$. Thus, as a boundary singularity we consider the map $\tilde{\pi}: (Y, q) \mapsto L(Y, q)$, where $L(Y, q) = P(y, q)$. Then we find the following.

Case 2(a): $f_{04} \neq 0$.

Then the 1-jet of $L(Y, q)$ is $-2f_{04}Y/f_{12}$ so that L is equivalent to the boundary singularity $(Y, q) \mapsto (q, Y)$ and the bifurcation set consists locally of just the parabolic curve. This is the same as case 1(a), an ordinary point of the parabolic curve.

Case 2(b): $f_{04} = 0$.

This means that the origin is a cusp of Gauss that is ‘also an A_2^* point’. It gives a semi-fold singularity provided that we also have $f_{12}f_{13} - 5f_{05} \neq 0$ and $f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06} \neq 0$. For additional information on this case, see § 5.

Case 3: the parabolic curve is singular

Case 3(a): the quadratic terms of f are not identically zero.

This amounts to $f_{20} = 1, f_{11} = 0, f_{02} = 0, f_{12} = 0, f_{03} = 0$ and occurs generically only in a one-parameter family of surfaces (see § 5). We shall assume by genericity that $f_{04} \neq 0$ (vanishing of f_{04} would give an ‘ A_2^* point at which the parabolic curve is singular’ and require a two-parameter family of surfaces). The first steps (i) and (ii) of the proof of lemma 4.1 still apply, so that we can solve $F_x = 0$ for x as a

function of y , p , q and substitute in $F_y = 0$, divide by y and obtain a function U that depends on y^2 , p , q . But now the 2-jet of U is (dividing by 4)

$$2f_{04}y^2 + (f_{22} - f_{21}^2)p^2 + 3f_{13}pq + 8f_{04}q^2,$$

with no linear term in p or q . However, we can replace y^2 by Y in U and solve for Y to give

$$y^2 = Y = \frac{f_{21}^2 - f_{22}}{2f_{04}}p^2 - \frac{3f_{12}}{2f_{04}}pq - 3q^2 + \text{higher terms.}$$

It is now clear that the projection map from Σ_F to the (p, q) -plane has no singularities except those corresponding to singularities of Σ_F itself, which occur when Y , or y , is zero, and this is the parabolic set of M . Hence, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.7. *At a generic singular point of the parabolic curve, where the quadratic terms of the surface $f = 0$ are not identically zero, the bifurcation set \mathcal{B}_F consists locally of the parabolic curve only.*

Case 3(b): the quadratic terms of f are identically zero (an elliptic or hyperbolic umbilic).

Again this occurs only in a generic family of surfaces. We find again that the bifurcation set remains throughout just the parabolic curve, that is, no A_2^* points are involved. Because the calculations in this case are quite different from those in case 3(a) we give an example in § 6.

For additional information about case 3, see § 5.

5. The bifurcation set of F for a generic one-parameter family of surfaces

In this section we shall interpret cases 1(c), 2(b) and 3 from the previous section as occurring in generic one-parameter families of surfaces, say $z = f(x, y, \varepsilon)$. In order to discover the evolution of the bifurcation set as ε passes through 0 we need to add some conditions that allow us to determine the structure of the ‘big bifurcation set’ in (p, q, ε) -space, and to determine the level sets $\varepsilon = \text{const.}$ of the parameter ε .

Case 1(c): semi-lips and semi-beaks.

This transition explains the way in which A_2^* points are formed in an evolution of a surface. The first examples of this are in [18, ch. 7, § 5]. Suppose that $z = \tilde{f}(x, y, \varepsilon)$ is a family of surfaces in Monge form, so that ε only enters the terms of degree 2 or higher, and suppose that for $\varepsilon = 0$ the surface $z = \tilde{f}(x, y, 0) = f(x, y)$ satisfies the conditions above, namely, $f_{20} = 1$, $f_{11} = f_{02} = f_{04} = 0$, $f_{03} \neq 0$, $f_{13}^2 - 4f_{06} \neq 0$, $f_{21}f_{13} - f_{14} \neq 0$. Then the boundary singularity $(Y, p) \mapsto (p, K(Y, p))$ is of type semi-lips or semi-beaks (with normal form $(p, Y^2 \pm Yp^2)$), provided the additional condition $c_{12} \neq 0$ above holds. According to [3, p. 410], for the ε terms to give a versal unfolding we need a term εY in K . For this we need to include a term εy^2 in the family of surfaces, that is, ensure that $\tilde{f}_{yy\varepsilon}(0, 0, 0) \neq 0$, and then the coefficient of εY works out as $10f_{05}/9f_{03}^2$. Thus we have the following lemma.




Figure 1. Schematic diagrams of (i) case 1(b) (semi-fold), (ii) case 1(c) (semi-lips) and (iii) case 1(c) (semi-beaks). In all cases the region above the horizontal line (which represents the parabolic set of M) can be the elliptic or the hyperbolic region.

LEMMA 5.1. *Assume that all the conditions of case 1(c) are satisfied, and that in addition $f_{05} \neq 0$ and that a family of surfaces $z = \tilde{f}(x, y, \varepsilon)$ satisfies $\tilde{f}_{yy\varepsilon}(0, 0, 0) \neq 0$. Then the bifurcation set passes through a semi-lips or semi-beaks transition according to whether the sign of $c_{20}c_{12}$ (in case 1(c)) is positive or negative.*

Note that this represents the way in which A_2^* points are created or destroyed in a family of surfaces. Because of the nature of the transitions, both A_2^* points must be elliptic, or both hyperbolic, in the terminology of lemma 4.4. There is an example in the next section. See figures 1 and 2.

Case 2(b): an A_2^ point that is also a cusp of Gauss.*

This case is much less interesting since it simply means that the conditions of case 2(a) are satisfied, and that in the family of surfaces the coefficient f_{04} passes through 0. There is no change in the bifurcation set: it is a semi-fold throughout and at the moment when $f_{04} = 0$, the base point is a cusp of Gauss. The additional branch characteristic of an A_2^* point simply ‘slides along the parabolic curve’ through the cusp of Gauss.

Case 3: singular parabolic set.

The result of the discussion in case 3 in §4 is that throughout the transition the local bifurcation set remains simply the parabolic set. The key consequence is that A_2^* points are not created or destroyed by the evolution of the parabolic set through the standard Morse transitions (as described in, for example, [5, 7]). This was suggested by examples in [18, ch. 7]. The creation and destruction of A_2^* points takes place only through the transition of case 1(c), in a generic one-parameter family of surfaces. We give an example of case 3(b) in §6 since the calculations are rather different from those encountered above.

6. Examples

Figure 1 shows in schematic form (i) case 1(b) (semi-fold), (ii) case 1(c) (semi-lips) and (iii) case 1(c) (semi-beaks). The horizontal line represents the boundary, which in our case is the parabolic set of M , and the curved lines the additional component of the bifurcation set \mathcal{B}_F . The dots are A_2^* points, in the middle diagrams of (ii) and (iii) these are degenerate A_2^* points at which $f_{21}f_{13} = f_{14}$.

EXAMPLE 6.1. The most interesting case is case 1(c), which can be realized by the one-parameter family of surfaces

$$z = \tilde{f}(x, y, \varepsilon) = x^2 + \varepsilon y^2 + y^3 \pm x^3 y + xy^3 - y^5, \tag{6.1}$$




Figure 2. The values of p for which there are real points on \mathcal{B}_F , besides the parabolic set, for the family (6.1), are shown on the left of parts (a) and (b) by thick vertical lines. Part (a) represents semi-lips, where real values of p exist only for $\epsilon \geq 0$, and part (b) represents semi-beaks, where for $\epsilon < 0$ there is a gap in the values of p . The right of each part shows the actual bifurcation sets during the transition. These are all hyperbolic A_2^* points in this example, that is, the branches all lie in the hyperbolic region of M . The boxed schematic figures show the transition with the curves more separated than in the actual example.

where ‘+’ realizes semi-lips and ‘-’ realizes semi-beaks, at the origin. Figure 2 shows the boundary between the pairs (ϵ, p) , which give positive or negative values of Y , and hence real or complex values for y , other than the solution $y = 0$, when the equation $Q_y = 0$ is solved for the critical set of Q . The figure also shows the actual bifurcation sets that pass through a semi-lips or semi-beaks transition, causing the creation or destruction of two A_2^* points of the same kind, elliptic or hyperbolic.

EXAMPLE 6.2. Figure 3 shows an example of the bifurcation set \mathcal{B}_F , where there are two A_2^* points within the range shown. The equation of the surface in this example, which satisfies all the conditions of lemma 4.4, is sufficiently simple that the bifurcation set can be calculated directly.




Figure 3. The parameter (p, q) plane of the surface $z = x^2 + y^3 + 2x^2y + xy^3$, which has an A_2^* point at the origin. One component of the parabolic set is marked P . The curve marked L determines, together with P , whether the solutions for Y in the bifurcation set are positive, and hence whether the point (p, q) is a real point or not. The solid lines marked B are the real bifurcation set and the dashed continuations are those excluded because $Y < 0$. Thus, the figure contains two A_2^* points, the endpoints of B . The A_2^* points in this example are both hyperbolic. The diagram is cut off above $q = -\frac{1}{2}$ since this example becomes highly degenerate at $(p, q) = (-\frac{3}{16}, -\frac{1}{2})$, with an entire line $(x, 0, p, q)$ projecting to a point.

EXAMPLE 6.3. To illustrate case 3(b) in § 4, consider the elliptic/hyperbolic umbilic at the origin on the surface $z = f(x, y) = \varepsilon x^2y + y^3 + y^4$, placed in the family of surfaces given by

$$z = tx^2 + \varepsilon x^2y + y^3 + f_{04}y^4,$$

where t is small, $f_{04} \neq 0$ and ε is 1 for a hyperbolic umbilic and -1 for an elliptic umbilic (see [5, 7]). Writing down F_x and F_y we can eliminate x to obtain an equation for y^2 that solves to give

$$x = -\frac{\varepsilon py}{t + \varepsilon q}, \quad y^2 = \frac{-3tq + p^2 - 3(\varepsilon + 2f_{04}t)q^2 - 6\varepsilon f_{04}q^3}{2f_{04}(t + \varepsilon q)}.$$

But substituting this into the third defining equation $F_{xx}F_{yy} - F_{xy}^2 = 0$ we find exactly that the numerator of the expression for y^2 is zero, giving $x = y = 0$, which shows that the bifurcation set consists entirely of the parabolic set. When $t = 0$ the parabolic set is locally an isolated point for $\varepsilon = 1$ and has two smooth transverse branches when $\varepsilon = -1$.

7. Global surfaces, and a Lagrangian interpretation

Consider $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, with coordinates $(p, q, r; u, v, w)$; the surface M in \mathbb{R}^3 is now given by an equation: $M: g(p, q, r) = 0, \nabla g|_M \neq 0$. The surface obtained by reflecting M

in the point (p, q, r) has equation

$$g(2p - u, 2q - v, 2r - w) = 0,$$

and the function $g(2p - u, 2q - v, 2r - w)$ is also the contact function of the reflected surface with M at (p, q, r) .

Thus, the contact Lagrangian submanifold \tilde{L}_M for the family of reflections

$$\tilde{L}_M \subset T^*(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) = T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \times T^*\mathbb{R}^3, \quad \Omega = \omega \oplus \omega,$$

ω being the canonical 2-form on $T^*\mathbb{R}^3$, is defined by the generating function of contact

$$G(p, q, r; u, v, w, \lambda) = g(2p - u, 2q - v, 2r - w) + \lambda g(u, v, w).$$

It is a smooth constrained Lagrangian submanifold in $T^*(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ with λ being a Morse parameter. The contact structure of the surface M with the family of its reflections is given by the singular set (caustic) of the reduced Lagrangian submanifold over \mathbb{R}^3 with coordinates (p, q, r) . Reduction is given by the coisotropic submanifold

$$N = \{(\mu_u, \mu_v, \mu_w) = 0\},$$

where $(\mu_p, \mu_q, \mu_r; p, q, r, \mu_u, \mu_v, \mu_w; u, v, w)$ are coordinates on $T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \times T^*\mathbb{R}^3$. Furthermore,

$$\nu: N \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \ni (\mu_p, \mu_q, \mu_r; p, q, r),$$

is the canonical projection such that $\Omega|_N = \nu^*\omega$.

Now the Lagrangian submanifold of contact of M with its reflections is given by the reduction

$$L_M = \mu(N \cap \tilde{L}_M) \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \ni (\mu_p, \mu_q, \mu_r; p, q, r).$$

Its generating Morse family is

$$h(u, v, w, \lambda; p, q, r) = g(2p - u, 2q - v, 2r - w) + \lambda g(u, v, w),$$

with four Morse parameters u, v, w, λ .

We use subscripts u, v, w to denote derivatives with respect to first, second, third, variables of $g(u, v, w)$, and write $\bar{\mathbf{p}}$ for $(2p - u, 2q - v, 2r - w)$ and \mathbf{u} for (u, v, w) . Then L_M is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial p} = 2g_u(\bar{\mathbf{p}}), \\ \mu_q &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial q} = 2g_v(\bar{\mathbf{p}}), \\ \mu_r &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} = 2g_w(\bar{\mathbf{p}}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial u} = -g_u(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_u(\mathbf{u}), \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} = -g_v(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_v(\mathbf{u}), \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial w} = -g_w(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_w(\mathbf{u}), \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda} = g(\mathbf{u}). \end{aligned}$$

The additional equation for the bifurcation set ΣL_M is

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} g_{uu}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_{uu}(\mathbf{u}) & g_{uv}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_{uv}(\mathbf{u}) & g_{uw}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_{uw}(\mathbf{u}) & g_u(\mathbf{u}) \\ g_{uv}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_{uv}(\mathbf{u}) & g_{vv}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_{vv}(\mathbf{u}) & g_{vw}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_{vw}(\mathbf{u}) & g_v(\mathbf{u}) \\ g_{uw}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_{uw}(\mathbf{u}) & g_{vw}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_{vw}(\mathbf{u}) & g_{ww}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}) + \lambda g_{ww}(\mathbf{u}) & g_w(\mathbf{u}) \\ g_u(\mathbf{u}) & g_v(\mathbf{u}) & g_w(\mathbf{u}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

These are identical to the conditions $F_x = F_y = F_{xx}F_{yy} - F_{xy}^2 = 0$ in §3, when M is given as a graph.

Thus, for the Lagrangian formulation, u, v, w are treated globally, not as a tubular neighbourhood of M but as coordinates of the extended ambient space $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Acknowledgements

S.J. was partly supported by NCN Grant no. DEC-2013/11/B/ST1/03080 and P.J.G. gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of the Center for Advanced Studies and the Banach Center, Warsaw. Both authors gratefully acknowledge the hospitality of the Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação of the University of São Paulo at São Carlos, Brazil.

References

- 1 T. F. Banchoff, T. Gaffney and C. McCrory. *Cusps of Gauss mappings*, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 55 (Boston, MA: Pitman, 1982). (Available at <http://www.emis.de/monographs/CGM/>.)
- 2 M. Berry. Semi-classical mechanics in phase space: a study of Wigner's function. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* **287** (1977), 237–271.
- 3 J. W. Bruce and P. J. Giblin. Projections of surfaces with boundary. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **60** (1990), 392–416.
- 4 J. W. Bruce and T. C. Wilkinson. Folding maps and focal sets. In *Singularity theory and its applications*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1462, pp. 63–72 (Springer, 2006).
- 5 J. W. Bruce, P. J. Giblin and F. Tari. Families of surfaces: height functions, Gauss maps and duals. In *Real and complex singularities* (ed. W. L. Marar), Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 333, pp. 148–178 (Boston, MA: Pitman, 1995).
- 6 J. W. Bruce, P. J. Giblin and F. Tari. Ridges, crests and sub-parabolic lines of evolving surfaces. *Int. J. Comp. Vis.* **18** (1996), 195–210.
- 7 J. W. Bruce, P. J. Giblin and F. Tari. Parabolic curves of evolving surfaces. *Int. J. Comp. Vis.* **17** (1996), 291–306.
- 8 J. W. Bruce, P. J. Giblin and F. Tari. Families of surfaces: focal sets, ridges and umbilics. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **125** (1999), 243–268.
- 9 L. de Floriani and M. Spagnuolo (eds). *Shape analysis and structuring*, Springer Series in Mathematics and Visualization (Springer, 2008).

- 10 W. Domitrz, M. Manoel and P. de M. Rios. The Wigner caustic on shell and singularities of odd functions. *J. Geom. Phys.* **71** (2013), 58–72.
- 11 P. J. Giblin and S. Janeczko. Geometry of curves and surfaces through the contact map. *Topol. Applic.* **159** (2012), 466–475.
- 12 P. J. Giblin and V. M. Zakalyukin. Singularities of centre symmetry sets. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **90** (2005), 132–166.
- 13 P. J. Giblin and V. M. Zakalyukin. Recognition of centre symmetry set singularities. *Geom. Dedicata* **130** (2007), 43–58.
- 14 P. J. Giblin, J. P. Warder and V. M. Zakalyukin. Bifurcations of affine equidistants. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **267** (2009), 57–75.
- 15 V. V. Goryunov. Projections of generic surfaces with boundaries. *Adv. Soviet Math.* **1** (1990), 157–200.
- 16 S. Janeczko. Bifurcations of the center of symmetry. *Geom. Dedicata* **60** (1996), 9–16.
- 17 S. Pizer and K. Siddiqi (eds). *Medial representations*, Computational Imaging and Vision, vol. 37 (Springer, 2008).
- 18 J. P. Warder. Symmetries of curves and surfaces. PhD thesis, University of Liverpool, 2009. (Available at www.liv.ac.uk/~pjgiblin.)