A MEMETIC APPROACH FOR SEQUENTIAL SECURITY GAMES ON A PLANE WITH MOVING TARGETS

Jan Karwowski¹, Jacek Mańdziuk¹, Adam Żychowski¹, Filip Grajek¹, and Bo An²

¹Warsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science, POLAND ²Nanyang Technlogical University, School of Computer Science and Engineering, SINGAPORE

Thirty-Third AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, January 27 — February 1, 2019, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA



Security Games



Crossover



Game (with moving targets)



Memetic algorithm

Time: game lasts several rounds

Ports: fixed locations

Ferries: single route, fixed schedule

Defenders: protect radial area around them

Attacker: tries to attack a ferry, attack lasts several rounds

Delete entries with probability $1 - \frac{\rho_{l_q}}{2}$

Local optimization



Evaluation



Experiments









$1 - m_1(g, f)M_2 \le dpp(g, f) \le 1$

 $\sum\nolimits_{f\in F} q_f = 1,$

 $c(i, t_k, f) = \sum_{j \in N(i)} fl_{((i, t_k), (j, t_{k+1}), f)}$

 $c(i, t_k, f) = \sum_{j \in N(i)} fl_{((j, t_{k-1}), (i, t_k), f)}$

 $\forall i \in Z, k \in 0, \ldots \tau - 1, f \in F$

 $\forall i \in Z, k \in 1, \ldots, \tau, f \in F$







 $\sum_{(i,t)\in R(f)}c(i,t,g)-m_2(g,f)M_2\leq$

 $m_1(g,f)+m_2(g,f)=1, \quad \forall f,g\in F$

 $q_g - m_3(g, f)M_2 \leq dpp_{neg}(g, f) \leq q_g$

 $1-dpp(g,f)-m_3(g,f)M_2 \leq$

 $dpp_{neg}(g, f) \leq 1 - dpp(g, f)$

 $m_3(g, f) + m_4(g, f) = 1, \quad \forall f, g \in F$

 $\forall i, g, f m_i(g, f) \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall f q_f \in \{0, 1\}$

 $c(i, t_k, f) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall g, f dpp_{neg}(g, f) \in \mathbb{R}$

 $U^{a}(g, f) = dpp_{neg}(g, f)U^{a+}(f) + dpp(g, f)U^{a-}(f)$

 $U^{d}(g, f) = dpp_{neg}(g, f)U^{d-}(f) + dpp(g, f)U^{d+}(f)$

 $fl_{((i,t_k),(j,t_{k+1}),\mathbf{f})} \in \mathbb{R}, dpp(g,f) \in \mathbb{R}$

50

 $dpp(g, f) \leq \sum_{(i,t)\in R(f)} c(i, t, g)$





Results baseline: SMOS-based program $\max \sum_{f} U^{d}(f, f)$ $0\leq \mathsf{a}-\sum_{g\in \mathsf{F}}U^{\mathsf{a}}(g,f)\leq (1-q_{f})\cdot M$





$$CH_{q} = \{ (SS_{1}^{q}, p_{1}^{q}), \dots, (SS_{l_{q}}^{q}, p_{l_{q}}^{q}) \}, \sum_{i=1}^{l_{q}} p_{i}^{q} = 1$$

 $[(D_1(t_1), D_1(t_2), \cdots, D_1(t_m)), (D_2(t_1), \cdots, D_2(t_m)), \ldots]$

pure strategy

Mutation

$$CH = [\dots, (p_i, (D_1(t_1), D_1(t_2), D_1(t_3), D_1(t_4)), \dots), \dots]$$

•*SS* =



Chromosome (Defender's strategy encoding)

• chromosomes may contain different numbers of SSs

$$CH_q = \{(SS_1^q, p_1^q), \dots, (SS_{l_q}^q, p_{l_q}^q)\}, \sum_{i=1}^{l_q} p_i^q = i$$

probability





Differences between Defender's utility values obtained with MA and SMOS for various settings of game abstraction