

# THE LATTICE OF SUBVARIETIES OF THE VARIETY OF SOME TERNARY MODES

AGATA PILITOWSKA

ABSTRACT. We describe the semiring associated with the variety  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  generated by some ternary algebras of submodules of  $R$ -modules. We show that this semiring is isomorphic to the semiring of finitely generated ideals of the ring  $R$ . We also describe the lattice of all subvarieties of the variety  $\mathbf{V}(Z_n)$ .

Algebras considered in this paper are semilattice modes. A *mode* is an idempotent algebra, in the sense that each singleton is a subalgebra, and an entropic algebra, i.e. each operation as a mapping from a direct power of the algebra into the algebra is a homomorphism. A mode is called a *semilattice mode* if some binary term interprets as a semilattice operation.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity 1. We can describe affine  $R$ -spaces as certain ternary reducts  $(E, \overline{R})$  of  $R$ -modules, and consider algebras  $(ESA, \overline{R})$  of subalgebras of algebras  $(E, \overline{R})$ . For each  $R$ -module, its submodules form a subalgebra  $(ESM, \overline{R})$  of  $(ESA, \overline{R})$ . Since among the operations  $\overline{R}$  is a semilattice operation  $x + y := xy\overline{1}$ , the algebras  $(ESM, \overline{R})$  are semilattice modes, and the variety  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  they generate forms a semilattice mode variety.

General semilattice modes were investigated by K. Kearnes in [1]. He has shown there that to each variety  $\mathbf{V}$  of semilattice modes one can associate a certain commutative semiring  $S(\mathbf{V})$ . The semiring of a semilattice mode variety plays a similar rôle as the ring of a variety of affine spaces. Similarly as in the case of affine  $R$ -spaces, the lattice of subvarieties of a variety of semilattice modes is determined by the congruences of the associated semiring.

Section 1 is devoted to the variety  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  generated by the class  $MS(R)$  of all algebras  $(ESM, \overline{R})$  of submodules. We describe a standard form of words in the free  $\mathbf{V}(R)$ -algebra on two generators. We use this to describe the semiring associated with the variety  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  in Section 2. We show that this semiring is isomorphic to the semiring of finitely generated ideals of the ring  $R$ . In Section 3 we give some properties of congruence relations of the semiring of finitely generated ideals of the ring  $R$ . Finally, in Section 4 we describe the lattice of all subvarieties of the variety  $\mathbf{V}(Z_n)$ . We will show that this lattice is isomorphic to the lattice of divisors of  $n$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 08A05, 08A30, 08A40, 08A62, 08A99; secondary 03C05, 06F25, 13C99.

The notation and terminology of the paper is basically as in the book [5]. We refer the reader to the book for all undefined notions and results. We use "Polish" notation for words (terms) and operations, e.g. instead of  $w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  we write  $x_1 \dots x_n w$ .

### 1. TERNARY SEMILATTICE MODES OF SUBMODULES OF MODULES

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and let  $(E, +, R)$  be a module over  $R$ . For each element  $r$  of  $R$ , define a ternary operation  $\bar{r}$  by

$$\bar{r} : E \times E \times E \rightarrow E; \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto xyz\bar{r} := x - ry + rz,$$

and consider the algebra  $(E, \bar{R})$  with the set  $\bar{R} = \{\bar{r} : r \in R\}$  of operations. We will call the algebra  $(E, \bar{R})$  the *ternary affine  $R$ -space*.

Note that the ternary affine  $R$ -space is term equivalent to the affine  $R$ -space. The cosets of submodules of  $(E, +, R)$  are exactly the nonempty subalgebras of the algebra  $(E, \bar{R})$ . Consider the set  $ESA$  of non-empty subalgebras of  $(E, \bar{R})$ . The set  $ESA$  forms the algebra  $(ESA, \bar{R})$  under the following complex product operations:

$$\bar{r} : ESA \times ESA \times ESA \rightarrow ESA;$$

$$(X, Y, Z) \mapsto \{xyz\bar{r} \mid x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z\}.$$

Let  $ESM$  be the set of submodules of the  $R$ -module  $(E, +, R)$ . Note that for each  $r$  in  $R$  and  $U, V$  in  $ESM$  the sets

$$rU = \{ru \mid u \in U\}, \quad U + V = \{u + v \mid u \in U, v \in V\}$$

are submodules of the  $R$ -module  $(E, +, R)$ . Then

$$UVW\bar{r} = U - rV + rW = U + rV + rW$$

is a submodule of  $(E, +, R)$ , too. Hence  $(ESM, \bar{R})$  is a subalgebra of the algebra  $(ESA, \bar{R})$ .

The sum of submodules

$$U + V = U + V + V = UVV\bar{1}$$

is the semilattice operation. The inclusion structure is recovered from  $(ESM, +)$  via  $U \leq V$  iff  $U + V = V$ . It turns out that the algebra  $(ESM, \bar{R})$  is a semilattice mode.

Let  $MS(R)$  denote the class of algebras  $(ESM, \bar{R})$  of submodules of all modules  $(E, +, R)$  over the ring  $R$ . Let  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  be the variety generated by the class  $MS(R)$ .

We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** *In the variety  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  the following identities are satisfied for any  $r, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p$  in  $R$ :*

- i)  $xyy\bar{r}_1yy\bar{r}_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_nxyys_1yy\bar{s}_2 \dots yy\bar{s}_mxyyt_1yy\bar{t}_2 \dots yy\bar{t}_p \bar{r}$   
 $= xyy\bar{r}_1yy\bar{r}_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_nyy\bar{r}_s_1yy\bar{r}_s_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_s_myy\bar{r}_t_1yy\bar{r}_t_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_t_p \bar{r},$
- ii)  $xyy\bar{r}_1yy\bar{r}_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_nyxx\bar{s}_1xx\bar{s}_2 \dots xx\bar{s}_m yxx\bar{t}_1xx\bar{t}_2 \dots xx\bar{t}_p \bar{r}$   
 $= xyy\bar{r}_1yy\bar{r}_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_nyy\bar{r},$
- iii)  $xyy\bar{r}_1yy\bar{r}_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_nxyys_1yy\bar{s}_2 \dots yy\bar{s}_m yxx\bar{t}_1xx\bar{t}_2 \dots xx\bar{t}_p \bar{r}$   
 $= xyy\bar{r}_1yy\bar{r}_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_nyy\bar{r}_s_1yy\bar{r}_s_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_s_myy\bar{r},$
- iv)  $xyy\bar{r}_1yy\bar{r}_2 \dots yy\bar{r}_n = xyy\bar{r}_1\sigma yy\bar{r}_{2\sigma} \dots yy\bar{r}_{n\sigma}$   
*for each permutation  $\sigma$  of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .*

Lemma 1.1. gives the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *In the free  $\mathbf{V}(R)$ -algebra  $F_{V(R)}(x, y)$  on two generators  $x$  and  $y$ , each further element may be expressed in the standard form*

$$x_1x_2x_2\bar{r}_1x_2x_2\bar{r}_2 \dots x_2x_2\bar{r}_n,$$

where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are in  $\{x, y\}$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_2$ ,  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  are in  $R$  and  $r_i \neq r_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

## 2. THE SEMIRING OF THE VARIETY $\mathbf{V}(R)$ .

In [1] K. Kearnes has shown that to each variety of semilattice modes one can associate a certain semiring. Such a semiring determines many properties of the variety.

Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a variety of semilattice modes with the semilattice operation  $+$  and  $F_V(x, y)$  denote the free  $\mathbf{V}$ -algebra on two generators  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $S(\mathbf{V})$  be the subset of  $F_V(x, y)$  consisting of all  $t$  in  $F_V(x, y)$  such that  $t + y = t$ . It is easy to check that  $S(\mathbf{V})$  is a subalgebra of  $F_V(x, y)$ . For each  $t$  in  $F_V(x, y)$  define the endomorphism

$$e_t : F_V(x, y) \rightarrow F_V(x, y)$$

determined by  $x \mapsto t$  and  $y \mapsto y$ . For  $t$  and  $s$  in  $F_V(x, y)$  let

$$s \bullet t := se_t.$$

**Theorem 2.1** (1). *Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a variety of semilattice modes with the semilattice operation  $+$ . The algebra  $(S(\mathbf{V}), +, \bullet, 1, 0)$  with two binary operations  $+$  and  $\bullet$  defined as above and two constants  $1 := x + y$  and  $0 := y$ , is a commutative semiring, satisfying  $1 + s = 1$  for each  $s$  in  $S(\mathbf{V})$ .*

We will call the semiring  $(S(\mathbf{V}), +, \bullet, 1, 0)$  the *semiring associated with the variety  $\mathbf{V}$* .

As was noticed before the algebras  $(ESM, \overline{R})$  are semilattice modes and the variety  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  they generate is a variety of semilattice modes. The semilattice operation is defined by

$$x + y := xyy\overline{1} = yxx\overline{1}.$$

In this section we describe the semiring associated with the variety  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  explicitly.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The subset  $S(\mathbf{V}(R))$  of  $F_{V(R)}(x, y)$  consisting of all  $t$  in  $F_{V(R)}(x, y)$  such that  $t + y = t$ , is equal to the set*

$$\{yxx\overline{r_1}xx\overline{r_2} \dots xx\overline{r_n} \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in R\}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.2. each element of  $F_{V(R)}(x, y)$  can be represented by  $xyy\overline{r_1}yy\overline{r_2} \dots yy\overline{r_n}$  or  $yxx\overline{r_1}xx\overline{r_2} \dots xx\overline{r_n}$  for  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  in  $R$ . If for  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  in  $R$ ,  $t = yxx\overline{r_1}xx\overline{r_2} \dots xx\overline{r_n}$  then by Lemma 1.1.

$$\begin{aligned} t + y &= yxx\overline{r_1}xx\overline{r_2} \dots xx\overline{r_n} + yxx\overline{0} \\ &= yxx\overline{r_1}xx\overline{r_2} \dots xx\overline{r_n}yxx\overline{0}yxx\overline{0} \overline{1} \\ &= yxx\overline{r_1}xx\overline{r_2} \dots xx\overline{r_n}xx\overline{0}xx\overline{0} \\ &= yxx\overline{r_1}xx\overline{r_2} \dots xx\overline{r_n} = t. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $t = xyy\overline{r_1}yy\overline{r_2} \dots yy\overline{r_n}$  then

$$t + y = xyy\overline{r_1}yy\overline{r_2} \dots yy\overline{r_n}yy\overline{1} = xyy\overline{1} = yxx\overline{1}.$$

In this case,  $t + y = t$  implies  $t = yxx\overline{1}$ , what completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$  denote the ideal of the ring  $R$  generated by the set  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\} \subseteq R$ . Let  $I_f(R)$  be the set of all finitely generated ideals of  $R$ . For  $r_1, \dots, r_n, s_1, \dots, s_m$  in  $R$

$$\begin{aligned} (r_1, \dots, r_n) + (s_1, \dots, s_m) &= (r_1, \dots, r_n, s_1, \dots, s_m), \\ (r_1, \dots, r_n) \cdot (s_1, \dots, s_m) &= (r_1s_1, \dots, r_1s_m, \dots, r_ns_1, \dots, r_ns_m). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *For a commutative ring  $R$  with unity 1, the algebra  $(I_f(R), +, \cdot, (1), (0))$ , where  $+$  denote the ideal sum and  $\cdot$  denote the ideal multiplication, is a commutative semiring satisfying  $1 + t = 1$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  be the variety of semilattice modes generated by the algebras  $(ESM, \overline{R})$  of submodules of all modules  $(E, +, R)$ . The semiring  $S(\mathbf{V}(R))$  associated with  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  is isomorphic to the semiring  $(I_f(R), +, \cdot, (1), (0))$  of finitely generated ideals of the ring  $R$ .*

*Proof.* To show that  $(S(\mathbf{V}(R)), +, \cdot, x + y, y)$  is isomorphic to the semiring  $(I_f(R), +, \cdot, (1), (0))$  let us define the following mapping

$$h : S(\mathbf{V}(R)) \rightarrow I_f(R); \quad yxx\overline{r_1}xx\overline{r_2} \dots xx\overline{r_n} \mapsto (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n).$$

First note that the mapping  $h$  is well-defined. Let  $yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n$  and  $yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m$  be equal in  $S(\mathbf{V}(R))$ . If  $x = R$  and  $y = \{0\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n &= \{0\}RR\bar{r}_1RR\bar{r}_2\dots RR\bar{r}_n \\ &= \{0\} + (r_1)R + (r_2)R + \dots + (r_n)R \\ &= (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)R = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m &= \{0\}RR\bar{s}_1RR\bar{s}_2\dots RR\bar{s}_m \\ &= \{0\} + (s_1)R + (s_2)R + \dots + (s_m)R \\ &= (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)R = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)$  and consequently the mapping  $h$  is well defined.

Now we want to show that  $h$  is a semiring homomorphism.

Let  $yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n$  and  $yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m$  be in  $S(\mathbf{V}(R))$ . Then by Lemma 1.1.

$$\begin{aligned} &(yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n + yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m)h \\ &= (yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m \bar{1})h \\ &= yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n x\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m h \\ &= (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) \\ &= (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) + (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) \\ &= yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n h + yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m h. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} &(yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n \bullet yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m)h \\ &= (yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n e_{yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m})h \\ &= (r_1s_1, r_1s_2, \dots, r_1s_m, r_2s_1, r_2s_2, \dots, r_2s_m, \dots, r_ns_1, r_ns_2, \dots, r_ns_m) \\ &= (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \cdot (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) \\ &= yx\bar{x}\bar{r}_1x\bar{x}\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n h \cdot yx\bar{x}\bar{s}_1x\bar{x}\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m h. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $(x + y)h = yx\bar{x}\bar{1}h = (1)$  and  $yh = yx\bar{x}\bar{0}h = (0)$ .

So the mapping  $h$  is a semiring homomorphism.

To show that  $h$  is an isomorphism, consider two ideals of the ring  $R$  generated by  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$  and by  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$ . Assume that  $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)$ . It follows that for any submodules  $U$  and  $V$  in any  $ESM$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} r_1U + r_2U + \dots + r_nU &= (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)U \\ &= (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)U = s_1U + s_2U + \dots + s_mU \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} VUU\bar{r}_1UU\bar{r}_2\dots UU\bar{r}_n &= V + r_1U + r_2U + \dots + r_nU \\ &= V + s_1U + s_2U + \dots + s_mU = VUU\bar{s}_1UU\bar{s}_2\dots UU\bar{s}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the identity

$$yxx\bar{r}_1xx\bar{r}_2\dots xx\bar{r}_n = yxx\bar{s}_1xx\bar{s}_2\dots xx\bar{s}_m$$

holds in  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  and  $h$  is an embedding. Moreover it is clear that  $h$  is onto what completes the proof of Theorem.  $\square$

Note that for invertible  $r$  in  $R$  the following identity

$$xyy\bar{r}yy\bar{r}_1yy\bar{r}_2\dots yy\bar{r}_n = xyy\bar{1}$$

also holds in  $\mathbf{V}(R)$ . This gives the following Corollary.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $F$  be a field. Then the semiring associated with the variety  $\mathbf{V}(F)$  generated by algebras  $(ESV, \bar{F})$  of subspaces of vector spaces  $(E, +, F)$  over the field  $F$ , is two element semiring  $(\{(0), (1)\}, +, \cdot, (1), (0))$  isomorphic to the semiring associated with the variety  $\mathbf{Sl}$  of semilattices.*

### 3. CONGRUENCE RELATIONS ON $I_f(Z_n)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity,  $n$  be natural number and  $r_{11}, \dots, r_{1k_1}, \dots, r_{n1}, \dots, r_{nk_n}$  be in  $R$ . For any congruence relation  $\Theta$  on  $I_f(R)$*

$$(r_{i1}, \dots, r_{ik_i})\Theta(0), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } k_i \in \mathbb{N}$$

*if and only if*

$$(r_{11}, \dots, r_{1k_1}, \dots, r_{n1}, \dots, r_{nk_n})\Theta(0).$$

Now let us consider the ring  $Z_n$ . This ring is a principal ideal ring and for  $r, s$  in  $Z_n$ ,  $(r) + (s) = (GCD(r, s))$ .

Note that the semilattice  $(I_f(Z_n), +)$  of ideals of  $Z_n$  is dually isomorphic to the semilattice  $(\downarrow n, GCD)$  of divisors of  $n$  with the greatest common divisor as a semilattice operation.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $p$  be in  $Z_n$  and  $i \neq j \geq 0$  be natural numbers. Then for any congruence relation  $\Theta$  on  $I_f(Z_n)$  if*

$$(p^i)\Theta(p^j)$$

*then*

$$(p^{\min(i,j)})\Theta(p^k),$$

*for each  $k \geq \min(i, j)$ .*

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that  $n$  factorizes as  $abcde$  and  $a, b, c, d$  and  $e$  are pairwise relatively prime. Let  $r := a'b'c'$  and  $s := a''b'd'$ , where  $a'$  and  $a''$  divide  $a$ ,  $b'$  divides  $b$ ,  $c'$  divides  $c$  and  $d'$  divides  $d$ . Moreover let  $t := \text{GCD}(a', a'')be$ . Then for any congruence relation  $\Theta$  on  $I_f(Z_n)$*

$$(r)\Theta(s)$$

if and only if

$$(t)\Theta(0).$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} a &= p_{11}^{n_{11}} \dots p_{1k_1}^{n_{1k_1}}, & a' &= p_{11}^{i_{11}} \dots p_{1k_1}^{i_{1k_1}}, & a'' &= p_{11}^{j_{11}} \dots p_{1k_1}^{j_{1k_1}}, \\ b &= p_{21}^{n_{21}} \dots p_{2k_2}^{n_{2k_2}}, & b' &= p_{21}^{i_{21}} \dots p_{2k_2}^{i_{2k_2}}, \\ c &= p_{31}^{n_{31}} \dots p_{3k_3}^{n_{3k_3}}, & c' &= p_{31}^{i_{31}} \dots p_{3k_3}^{i_{3k_3}}, \\ d &= p_{41}^{n_{41}} \dots p_{4k_4}^{n_{4k_4}}, & d' &= p_{41}^{i_{41}} \dots p_{4k_4}^{i_{4k_4}}, \end{aligned}$$

where for  $u = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $w = 1, \dots, k_u$ ,  $p_{uw}$  are prime numbers,  $n_{uw} \geq 0$  are natural numbers and  $0 \leq i_{uw} \leq n_{uw}$ ,  $0 \leq j_{1w} \leq n_{1w}$ .

Let  $(r)\Theta(s)$ . Then

$$(\text{GCD}(r, p_{1s_1}^{\max(i_{1s_1}, j_{1s_1})}))\Theta(\text{GCD}(s, p_{1s_1}^{\max(i_{1s_1}, j_{1s_1})})).$$

So

$$(p_{1s_1}^{i_{1s_1}})\Theta(p_{1s_1}^{j_{1s_1}}), \text{ for } s_1 = 1, \dots, k_1.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.2.

$$(p_{1s_1}^{i_{1s_1}})\Theta(p_{1s_1}^{j_{1s_1}})\Theta(p_{1s_1}^{n_{1s_1}}), \text{ for } s_1 = 1, \dots, k_1,$$

and

$$(a)\Theta(a')\Theta(a'').$$

Similarly

$$(\text{GCD}(r, p_{3s_3}^{i_{3s_3}})) = (p_{3s_3}^{i_{3s_3}})\Theta(1) = (\text{GCD}(s, p_{3s_3}^{i_{3s_3}})),$$

for  $s_3 = 1, \dots, k_3$ , and

$$(\text{GCD}(r, p_{4s_4}^{i_{4s_4}})) = (1)\Theta(p_{4s_4}^{i_{4s_4}}) = (\text{GCD}(s, p_{4s_4}^{i_{4s_4}})),$$

for  $s_4 = 1, \dots, k_4$ .

Hence by Lemma 3.2.

$$(p_{3s_3}^{n_{3s_3}})\Theta(1)\Theta(p_{4s_4}^{n_{4s_4}}),$$

for  $s_3 = 1, \dots, k_3$  and  $s_4 = 1, \dots, k_4$ .

Consequently

$$(c')\Theta(c)\Theta(1)\Theta(d')\Theta(d).$$

Therefore we obtain that  $(a'c')\Theta(ac)$  and  $(a''d')\Theta(ad)$ .

This implies the following:

$$(a'c'be)\Theta(abcde) = (0) \quad \text{and} \quad (a''d'be)\Theta(abcde) = (0).$$

Finally, we get

$$(t) = (GCD(a', a'')be) = (GCD(a'bc'e, a''bd'e))\Theta(0).$$

Now assume that  $(t) = (GCD(a', a'')be)\Theta(0)$ . We have that  $(0) \subseteq (a'be) \subseteq (GCD(a', a'')be)$  and  $(0) \subseteq (a''be) \subseteq (GCD(a', a'')be)$ . So

$$(a'be)\Theta(0)\Theta(a''be).$$

Hence

$$(a'bc'e)\Theta(0)\Theta(a''bd'e),$$

and

$$(r) = (a'b'c') = GCD(ab'cd', a'bc'e)\Theta(GCD(ab'cd', a''bd'e)) = (a''b'd') = (s).$$

what completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. THE LATTICE OF SUBVARIETIES OF $\mathbf{V}(Z_n)$ .

K. Kearnes has shown that the lattice of subvarieties of a variety of semilattice modes is determined by the congruences of the associated semiring. He has proved the following theorems.

**Theorem 4.1** (1). *If  $\mathbf{V}$  is a variety of semilattice modes, then any subvariety  $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{V}$  is axiomatized by the set of all equations  $xyx = xyt$  satisfied by  $\mathbf{U}$ , where  $xyx \geq y$  and  $xyt \geq y$ .*

*In particular, every variety of semilattice modes is axiomatized by entropic laws and binary equations.*

**Theorem 4.2** (1). *If  $\mathbf{V}$  is a variety of semilattice modes, then the lattice of subvarieties of  $\mathbf{V}$  is dually isomorphic to  $\text{ConS}(\mathbf{V})$ .*

Let us denote by  $V_r$  the subvariety of  $\mathbf{V}(Z_n)$  that satisfies one additional identity  $yx\bar{x}r = y$ .

By Lemma 3.1. we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity,  $n$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  be natural numbers and  $r_{i1}, \dots, r_{ik_i}$  be in  $R$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . In the variety  $\mathbf{V}(R)$  the set of identities*

$$yx\bar{x}r_{i1} \dots \bar{x}r_{ik_i} = y,$$

*is equivalent to the identity*

$$yx\bar{x}r_{11} \dots \bar{x}r_{1k_1} \dots \bar{x}r_{n1} \dots \bar{x}r_{nk_n} = y.$$



**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  be in  $Z_n$ . Then*

$$V_{r_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{r_m} = V_{GCD(r_1, \dots, r_m)}.$$

By Lemma 3.3. the following Theorem holds.

**Theorem 4.5.** *If  $\mathbf{V}$  is the subvariety of the variety  $\mathbf{V}(Z_n)$  then  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}(Z_n)$  or  $\mathbf{V}$  is trivial or  $\mathbf{V} = V_r$  for some  $r$  in  $Z_n$ , such that  $GCD(r, n) \neq 1$ .*

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $r, s$  be in  $Z_n$ .  $V_r \subseteq V_s$  if and only if  $r \mid s$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $r \mid s$ . Then  $(0) \subseteq (s) \subseteq (r)$ .

So for any congruence relation  $\Theta$ , if  $(r)\Theta(0)$ , then  $(s)\Theta(0)$ . This implies that  $V_r \subseteq V_s$ .

On the other hand, if  $V_r \subseteq V_s$  then by Corollary 4.4.

$$V_r = V_r \cap V_s = V_{GCD(r, s)}$$

and consequently  $r = GCD(r, s)$  and  $r \mid s$ . □

Let  $(\downarrow n, GCD, LCM)$  be the lattice of divisors of  $n$  with the meet of two numbers  $i$  and  $j$  being their greatest common divisor  $GCD(i, j)$  and join of  $i$  and  $j$  being their least common multiple  $LCM(i, j)$ .

Finally we have the following Theorem.

**Theorem 4.7.** *The lattice of all subvarieties of the variety  $\mathbf{V}(Z_n)$  is isomorphic to the lattice  $(n \downarrow, GCD, LCM)$ . The isomorphism is given by the mapping  $h$ , where the image of the trivial variety is 1, the image of the variety  $\mathbf{V}(Z_n)$  is  $n$  and for  $r$  in  $Z_n$  with  $GCD(n, r) \neq 1$ ,  $h(V_r) = r$ .*

*Proof.* It is evident that the mapping  $h$  is onto. We show that it is one-to-one. Indeed, if  $V_r = V_s$  then by Lemma 4.6.  $r = s$ .

By Corollary 4.4.

$$h(V_r \cap V_s) = h(V_{GCD(r, s)}) = GCD(r, s) = GCD(h(V_r), h(V_s)).$$

It follows that  $h$  is a meet-homomorphism.

Now we show that

$$V_r \vee V_s = V_{LCM(r, s)}.$$

By Lemma 4.6. it follows that

$$V_r \vee V_s \subseteq V_{LCM(r, s)}.$$

Now if  $V_r \subseteq V$  and  $V_s \subseteq V$ , then by Theorem 4.5. there is  $w$  in  $Z_n$  such that  $V = V_w$  and  $r \mid w$  and  $s \mid w$ , whence  $LCM(r, s) \mid w$ .

It follows that  $V_{LCM(r, s)} \subseteq V_w$  and consequently

$$V_r \vee V_s = V_{LCM(r, s)}.$$

This implies that  $h$  is a join-homomorphism. Indeed,

$$h(V_r \vee V_s) = h(V_{LCM(r, s)}) = LCM(r, s) = LCM(h(V_r), h(V_s)).$$

It completes the proof.  $\square$

Note that, if  $Z_n$  is a field ( $n$  is a prime number) the variety  $\mathbf{V}(Z_n)$  has only trivial subvarieties.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Kearnes K., Semilattice modes I: the associated semiring, *Algebra Universalis*, **34**:220-272, 1995.
- [2] Ostermann F., Schmidt J., Der Baryzentrische Kalkul als Axiomatische Grundlage der Affinen Geometrie, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **224**: 44-57, 1966.
- [3] Pilitowska A., Enrichments of Affine Spaces and Algebras of Subalgebras, *Disc. Math., Algebra and Stochastic Methods*, **19**:207-225, 1999.
- [4] Pilitowska A., Modes of submodes, Ph. D. Thesis, Warsaw Technical University, Warsaw, 1996.
- [5] Romanowska A., Smith J.D.H., Modal Theory - an Algebraic Approach to Order, Geometry and Convexity, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, 00-661 WARSAW, POLAND

*E-mail address:* `irbis@pol.pl`