Chapter 1 Matrices

Definition 1.1. An n×k matrix over a field **F** is a function A: $\{1,2,...,n\}$ × $\{1,2,...,k\}$ →**F**.

A matrix is usually represented by (and identified with) an n×k (read as "n by k") array of elements of the field (usually numbers). The horizontal lines of a matrix are referred to as <u>rows</u> and the vertical ones as <u>columns</u>. The individual elements are called <u>entries</u> of the matrix. Thus an n×k matrix has n rows, k columns and nk entries. Matrices will be denoted by capital letters and their entries by the corresponding small letters. Thus, in case of a matrix A we will write $A(i,j)=a_{i,j}$ and will refer to $a_{i,j}$ as the element of the i-th row and j-th column of A. On the other hand we will use the symbol $[a_{i,j}]$ to denote the matrix A with entries $a_{i,j}$. Rows and columns of a matrix can (and will) be considered vectors from \mathbf{F}^k and \mathbf{F}^n , respectively, and will be denoted by $r_1, r_2, \ldots r_n$ and c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k . The expression n×k is called the <u>size</u> of a matrix.

Definition 1.2. Let A be an n×k matrix. Then the <u>transpose</u> of A is the k×n matrix A^{T} , such that for each i and j, $A^{T}(i,j)=A(j,i)$.

In other words, A^T is what we get when we replace rows of A with columns and vice versa..

Proposition 1.1. For any $n,k \in \mathbb{N}$ the set Mtr(n,k) consisting of all $n \times k$ matrices over \mathbf{F} with ordinary function addition and multiplication of functions by constants is a vector space over \mathbf{F} , dim(Mtr(n,k))=nk.

Proof. Mtr(n,k) is a vector space (see Example 4.4???). As a basis for Mtr(n,k) we can use the set consisting of matrices $A_{p,q}$, where $A_{p,q}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (p, q) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

The operation of matrix multiplication is something quite different from the abovementioned operations of matrix scaling and addition. It is not inherited from function theory, it is a specifically matrix operation. The reason for this will soon become clear.

Definition 1.3. Suppose A is an n×k matrix and B is a k×s matrix. Then the <u>product</u> of A by B is the matrix C, where $c_{i,j}=a_{i,1}b_{1,j}+a_{i,2}b_{2,j}+\ldots a_{i,k}b_{k,j}=\sum_{t=1}^{k}a_{i,t}b_{t,j}$

In other words, $c_{i,j}$ is the sum of the products of consecutive elements of the i-th row of A by the corresponding elements of the j-th column of B. Strictly speaking multiplication of matrices in general is not an operation on matrices as it maps $Mtr(n,k) \times Mtr(k,s)$ into Mtr(n,s). It is an operation though, when n=k=s. Matrices with the same number of rows and column are called <u>square</u> matrices.

Notice that C=AB is an $n \times s$ matrix. Notice also that for the definition to work, the number of columns in A (the first factor) must equal the number of rows in B (the second factor). In other cases the product is not defined. This suggest that matrix multiplication need not be commutative.

Example 1.1. Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. then
 $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 2+1+2 & 0-3-4 & 2-1+4 \\ 4+0-3 & 0+0+6 & 4+0-6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -7 & 5 \\ 1 & 6 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ and BA is not defined since the

number of columns of B is not equal to the number of rows of A

Example 1.2. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ while $BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This

example proves that AB may differ from BA even when both products exist and have the same size.

Example 1.3. The n×n matrix I defined as $I(s,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = t \\ 0 & \text{if } s \neq t \end{cases}$ is the identity element for

matrix multiplication on Mtr(n,n). Indeed, for every matrix A, AI(i,j) = $\sum_{t=1}^{n} A(i,t)I(t,j) =$

A(i,j) because A(i,t)I(t, j) is equal to A(i,j) when t=j and is equal to 0 otherwise. Hence AI=A. A similar argument proves that IA=A. All entries of the identity matrix I are equal to 0 except for the <u>diagonal entries</u> which are all equal to 1. The term *diagonal entries* of a matrix A refers to the diagonal of a square n×n matrix, i.e. the line connecting the top-left with the bottom-right corner of the matrix. The line consists of all elements of the form A(i,i), i=1,2, \dots , n.

<u>**Theorem 1.1.</u>** For every $n \times k$ matrix A and for every two $k \times s$ matrices B and C, A(B+C)=AB+AC, i.e. matrix multiplication is distributive with respect to matrix addition.</u>

Proof. A(B+C)[i,j] =
$$\sum_{p=1}^{k} A[i,p](B+C)[p,j] = \sum_{p=1}^{k} A[i,p](B[p,j]+C[p,j]) =$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{k} A[i,p]B[p,j] + \sum_{p=1}^{k} A[i,p]C[p,j]) = (AB+AC)[i,j].\Box$$

Definition 1.4. Let A be an n×k matrix. We say that A is a <u>row echelon</u> matrix iff

(a) if r_i is a nonzero row of A then r_{i-1} is also a nonzero row, i=2,3,...

(b) if $a_{i,j}$ is the first nonzero entry in r_i and $a_{i-1,p}$ is the first nonzero entry in r_{i-1} then p < jIf, in addition,

(c) the first nonzero entry in each nonzero row is equal to 1

(d) the first nonzero entry in each nonzero row is the only nonzero entry in its column then A is called a <u>row canonical</u> matrix.

Definition 1.5. The following transformations of a matrix are called <u>elementary row</u> operations

- (a) $r_i \leftrightarrow r_j$ replacing row r_i with r_j and vice versa (row swapping)
- (b) $r_i \leftarrow cr_i$ replacing row r_i with its multiple by a nonzero constant *c* (scaling of a row). In practice we abbreviate the symbol to cr_i
- (c) $r_i \leftarrow r_i + r_j$ replacing row r_i with the sum of r_i and r_j (adding of r_j to r_i). We usually write simply $r_i + r_i$.
- (d) $r_i \leftarrow r_i + cr_j$ replacing row r_i with the sum of r_i and a multiple of r_j by a constant *c*. Normally we just write $r_i + cr_j$.

Notice that the operation (d) is a composition of (b) and (c). Namely, we can do cr_j , then r_i+r_j (here r_j denotes the "new" row j, after scaling) and finally $c^{-1}r_j$ to return to the original row j.

Definition 1.6. Matrices A and B are said to be *row-equivalent* iff A can be transformed into B by a sequence of elementary row operations. We denote row-equivalence by A~B.

Definition 1.7. The *row rank* of a matrix A, r(A), is the dimension of the subspace of \mathbf{F}^k spanned by rows of A.

<u>Theorem 1.2.</u> For every two matrices A and B, if A~B then r(A)=r(B). **<u>Proof.</u>** We prove this by showing that each elementary row operation preserves the very space spanned by rows of the matrix, hence they also preserve its dimension. \Box

<u>Theorem 1.3.</u> For every matrix A, $r(A) = r(A^{T})$. **<u>Proof.</u>** Skipped

Definitions 1.4 - 1.7 and Theorem 1.2 could just as well be phrased in terms of columns rather than rows, leading to the concept of the *column rank* of a matrix. However, Theorem 1.3 states that the two are the same.

Since the rank of any row echelon matrix is clearly the number of its nonzero rows, Theorem 1.2. provides a strategy for calculating the rank of a matrix - row reduce the matrix to a row echelon matrix and then count the nonzero rows.

Definition 1.8. The *determinant* is a function which assigns a number (an element of the underlying field) to every square (i.e. $n \times n$) matrix A. The function is defined inductively with respect to n:

- (1) if n=1 then det(A)=A[1,1]
- (2) if n>1 then det(A)= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} A[i,1] det(A_{i,1})$, where A_{p,s} is the n-1×n-1 matrix obtained

from A by the removal of p-th row and s-th column.

The sum appearing in part two of the definition is known as the Laplace expansion of the determinant with respect to the first column.